

EC-20-138

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

Albuquerque, New Mexico Office of the Mayor

Timothy M. Keller, Mayor

INTER-OFFICE MEMORANDUM

DATE: May 28, 2020

TO:

Patrick Davis, President, City Council

FROM:

Timothy M. Keller, Mayor

SUBJECT:

Mayor's Recommendation of Consensus Planning, Inc. for Landscape

Architectural Consultants for Singing Arrow and Tijeras Arroyo Open Space

Improvements

The Selection Advisory Committee (SAC) met via email on April 29, 2020 to consider the following project:

Project:

Project No: 5423.90; Landscape Architectural Consultant for Singing Arrow and

Tijeras Arroyo Open Space Improvements

Agency:

Department of Municipal Development

Three proposals were received in response to the Request for Proposals.

Project Description: Improvements to Open Space areas at Singing Arrow and the Tijeras Arroyo in SE Albuquerque. The projects may include the need for studies, analysis, site planning, design, bidding, and/or construction phase services.

The Committee made the following recommendation of the ranked respondents:

Consensus Planning, Inc.

Sites Southwest

Surroundings

The Cover Analysis, Score-Sheet Compilation and Minutes of the SAC Meeting are attached.

Therefore, in accordance with Section 14-7-2-1 et seq, ROA 1994, the following is my consultant selection recommendation concerning the procurement of professional services for the above listed project:

Consensus Planning, Inc.

Mayor's Recommendation of Consensus Planning, Inc. for Project No: 5423.90; Landscape Architectural Consultant for Singing Arrow and Tijeras Arroyo Open Space Improvements.

This recommendation is being forwarded for Council consideration and action.

8/3420

Date

Approved:

Sarita Nair, JD, MCRP

Chief Administrative Officer

Approved as to Legal Form:

---- DocuSigned by

5/29/2020

Esteban A. Aguilar, Jr.

Date

City Attorney

Recommended:

-DocuSigned by:

Patrick Montoya

5/29/2020

Patrick Montoya, Director

Date

Department of Municipal Development

05 28 2020

MIM

Attachments:

Cover Analysis Composite SAC Evaluation Form Minutes of the SAC Meeting

Cover Analysis

1. What is it?

This project will improve the Singing Arrow and Tijeras Arroyo Open Space in SE Albuquerque. The projects may include the need for analysis, design, bidding, and construction phase services.

2. What will this piece of legislation do?

This legislation will provide an Open Space project involving multiple disciplines and agencies in collaborative that will result in more education and recreation in Open Space in SE Albuquerque.

3. Why is this project needed?

There are archaeological findings that deserve proper recognition and wayfinding in the Tijeras Arroyo that will provide education and recreation that is important to our community and culture.

4. How much will it cost and what is the funding source?

Funds will consist of GO Bond Funds. Improvements could be over \$1 Million.

5. Is there a revenue source associated with this contract? If so, what level of income is projected?

No.

6. What will happen if the project is not approved?

If this project is not approved, our local history will continue to be unnoticed and opportunities for education and recreation in this area will be lost.

7. Is this service already provided by another entity?

No.

Composite Selection Advisory Committee Evaluation Form

DATE: 5/28/20

Project No: 5423.90; Landscape Architectural Consultant for Singing Arrow and Tijeras Arroyo Open Space Improvements

2. Provide number of employees, technical discipline and registration. 3. Indicate where the services are to be performed. 1. Project Farm Members 1. Provide organization plan for management of the project. 2. Identify all consultants to be used on the project. 3. Indicate where the services are to be performed. 4. Provide organizations of project team members shown in organization plan, including registration and membership in professional organizations. 4. Provide any unique knowledge of key team members relevant to the project. 18. Respondent Experience 19. Desember projects organizations. 4. Provide any unique knowledge of key team members relevant to the project. 18. Respondent Experience 19. Desember projects of a similar nature, including client contact (with phone numbers), year services provided, construction cost (f applicable), and a rarrative description of how they relate to this project. 150 126 131 10 126 131 10 127 128 131 10 10 129 132 143 144 155 150 124 124 11 150 125 124 124 11 124 11 125 125 126 127 127 128 129 129 120 120 120 120 120 120 121 121 122 123 124 124 124 125 126 127 128 129 129 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120	Evaluation Criteria	Maximum	Firm Name	Firm Name	Firm Name
L General Information L Provide Parts and Address of Respondent and, if firm, when firm was established. Provide analysis of employees, technical discipline and registration. Indicate where the services are to be performed. II. Provide qualifications of project team members and the project. 2. Identify all consultants to be used on the project. 3. Provide qualifications of project team members shown in organization plan for management of the project. 2. Identify all consultants to be used on the project. 3. Provide qualifications of project team members shown in organization plan, including registration and membership in professional organizations. 4. Provide any nucleus knowledge of key team members relevant to the project. 4. Describe previous projects of a similar nature, including client contact (with phone numbers), was services provided, construction cost (if applicable), and a narrative description of how they relate to this project. 4. Provide examples of the Project Manager's City experience within the past five (5) years that service to demonstrate the the Project Manager's Environmental to the project scope. 5. Provide examples of the Project Manager's City experience within the past five (5) years that service to demonstrate the the Project Manager's Individual of the project scope. 6. Describe respondent plans to perform the services required by the project scope. 7. Describe respondent plans to perform the services required by the project scope. 8. Describe respondent plans to perform the services required by the project scope. 9. Describe respondent fails of the project scope. 9. Describe respondent fails of the project scope. 9. Describe respondent fails of the project scope. 9. Describe respondent fails or project scope. 9. Describe respondent fails or past fails or project scope. 9. Describe respondent fails		Points	v	Sites Southwest	Surroundings
2. Provide number of employees, technical discipline and registration. 3. Indicate where the services are to be performed. 1. Project Farm Members 1. Provide organization plan for management of the project. 2. Identify all consultants to be used on the project. 3. Indicate where the services are to be performed. 4. Provide organizations of project team members shown in organization plan, including registration and membership in professional organizations. 4. Provide any unique knowledge of key team members relevant to the project. 18. Respondent Experience 19. Desember projects organizations. 4. Provide any unique knowledge of key team members relevant to the project. 18. Respondent Experience 19. Desember projects of a similar nature, including client contact (with phone numbers), year services provided, construction cost (f applicable), and a rarrative description of how they relate to this project. 150 126 131 10 126 131 10 127 128 131 10 10 129 132 143 144 155 150 124 124 11 150 125 124 124 11 124 11 125 125 126 127 127 128 129 129 120 120 120 120 120 120 121 121 122 123 124 124 124 125 126 127 128 129 129 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120	1. Provide Name and Address of Respondent and, if firm,				-
1. Provide organization plan for management of the project. 2. Identify all consultants to be used on the project. 3. Provide qualifications of project team members shown in organization plan, including registration and membership professional organizations. 4. Provide any unique knowledge of key team members relevant to the projects. III. Respondent Experience 1. Describe previous projects of a similar nature, including client cortact (with phone numbers), year services provided, construction cost (if applicable), and a narrative description of how they releate to this project. 2. Provide examples of the Project Manager's City experience within the past Kie (5) years that service services required by the project scope. 3. Describe project divides of the project scope. 4. Describe project by project scope. 5. Describe project project by project scope. 6. Describe project project divides and the project scope. 7. Describe project	Provide number of employees, technical discipline and registration.	25	24	24	23
2. Identify all consultants to be used on the project. 3. Provide qualifications of project team members shown in organization plan, including registration and membership in professional organizations. 4. Provide any unjew knowledge of key team members relevant to the project. 1. Describe previous projects of a similar nature, including client contact (with phone numbers), year services provided, construction cost (if applicable), and a narrative description of how they relate to this project. 2. Provide examples of the Project Manager's City experience within the past five (5) years that serve to demonstrate the the Project Manager's City experience within the past five (6) years that serve to demonstrate the the Project Manager's knowledge of City proceedures. 2. Describe respondent's understanding of the project scope. 2. Describe how respondent plans to perform the services required by the project scope. 3. Describe project. 3. Describe project. 4. Cost Control 4. Describe respondent of project scope. 5. Describe project plans to perform the services required by the project scope. 7. Cost Control 7. Describe project project. 7. Cost Control 7. Describe project project. 8. Cost Control 8. Describe project project. 8. Cost Control 9. Describe project project project. 9. Cost Control 9. Describe project project project. 9. Cost Control 9. Describe project. 9. Cost Control 9. Describe project project. 9. Cost Control 9. Describe project. 9. Describe	II. Project Team Members				
3. Provide qualifications of project team members shown in organization plan, including registration and membership in professional organizations. 4. Provide any unique knowledge of key team members relevant to the project. 4. Provide any unique knowledge of key team members relevant to the project. III. Respondent Experience 1. Describe previous projects of a similar nature, including client contact (with phone numbers), year services provided, construction cost (if applicable), and a narrative description of how they relate to this project. 2. Provide examples of the Project Manager's Knowledge of City procedures. IV. Technical Approach 1. Describe provide (S) years that serve to demonstrate the the Project Manager's knowledge of City procedures. IV. Technical Approach 1. Describe respondent's understanding of the project scope. 2. Describe provides specialized problem solving required in any phase of the project. V. Cost Control 1. Describe cost control and cost estimating techniques to be used for this project. 2. Provide comparisons of bid award amount to final cost estimate for projects designed by the respondent during the past two (2) years. The consultant may provide justification for any discrepancies that may exist with this information. VI. Quality and Content of Proposal 1. Evaluator's rating of overall quality of proposal. Total Possible Points Total Possible Points Total Possible Points Total Possible Points Solo 500 500 500 50 Total Points (Mrus High and Low Scores) Minus Point Deductions (If Applicable) Sub-Total (All Applicable) Deductions Applied) Sub-Total (All Applicable) Sub-Total (All Applicable) Plus Interview Scores O 0 0 Plus Interview Scores	Provide organization plan for management of the project.				
organization plan, including registration and membership professional organizations. 4. Provide any unique knowledge of key team members relevant to the project. 8. Respondent Experience 1. Describe previous projects of a similar nature, including client contact (with phone numbers), year services provided, construction cost (if applicable), and a narrative description of how they relate to this project. 2. Provide examples of the Project Manager's City experience within the past five (5) years that serve to demonstrate the within the past five (5) years that serve to demonstrate the the Project Manager's knowledge of City procedures. 1. Describe respondent's understanding of the project scope. 2. Describe how respondent plans to perform the services required by the project scope project. 3. Describe specialized problem solving required in any phase of the project. 4. Cost Control 5. Describe cost control and cost estimating techniques to be used for this project. 5. Provide comparisons of bid award amount to final cost sestimate for projects designed by the respondent during the past two (2) years. The consultant may provide justification for any discrepancies that may exist with this information. 1. Evaluator's rating of overall quality of proposal. 1. Evaluator's rating of overall quality of proposal. 5. Total Points (Before Point Deductions) 6. Minus Point Deductions (if Applicable) 7. Describe respondent during the past two (2) years. The consultant may provide justification for any discrepancies that may exist with this information. 1. Evaluator's rating of overall quality of proposal. 5. Total Points (Before Point Deductions) 7. Total Points (Minus High and Low Scores Total 169 1771 166 179 179 179 179 179 179 179 179 179 179	Identify all consultants to be used on the project.				
relevant to the project. II. Respondent Experience 1. Describe previous projects of a similar nature, including client contact (with phone numbers), year services provided, construction cost (if applicable), and a narrative description of how they relate to this project. 2. Provide examples of the Project Manager's City experience within the past five (5) years that serve to demonstrate the the Project Manager's Knowledge of City procedures. IV. Technical Approach 1. Describe respondent is understanding of the project scope. 2. Describe how respondent plans to perform the services required by the project scope. 3. Describe pecialized problem solving required in any phase of the project. V. Cost Control 1. Describe cost control and cost estimating techniques to be used for this project. V. Cost Control 1. Describe osci control and cost estimating techniques to be used for this project. V. Provide comparisons of bid award amount to final cost estimating the project designed by the respondent during the past two (2) years. The consultant may provide justification for any discrepancies that may exist with this information. VI. Quality and Content of Proposal 1. Evaluator's rating of overall quality of proposal. 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 50	organization plan, including registration and membership in professional organizations.	100	90	84	84
III. Respondent Experience 1. Describe previous projects of a similar nature, including client contact (with phone numbers), year services provided, construction cost (if applicable), and a narrative description of how they relate to this project. 2. Provide examples of the Project Manager's City experience within the past five (5) years that serve to demorstrate the the Project Manager's Nowledge of City procedures. IV. Technical Approach 1. Describe respondent's understanding of the project scope. 2. Describe how respondent plans to perform the services required by the project scope. 3. Describe specialized problem solving required in any phase of the project. 4. Cost Control 1. Describe respondent of the project scope. 3. Describe specialized problem solving required in any phase of the project. 4. Cost Control 1. Describe cost control and cost estimating techniques to be used for this project. 2. Provide companisons of bid award amount to final cost estimate for projects designed by the respondent during the past two (2) years. The consultant may provide justification for any discrepancies that may exist with this information. 4. Coality and Content of Proposal 4. Evaluator's rating of overall quality of proposal. 5. Total Possible Points 5. Total Possible Points 5. Total Possible Points 6. Total Points (Before Point Deductions) 6. Minus High and Low Scores Total 6. Total Points (Minus High and Low Scores) 6. 259 6. 259 6. 254 6. 22 6. Minus Point Deductions (If Applicable) 7. Total Points (Indeplicable) 7. Total Points (Indeplicable) 8. Sub-Total (All Applicable) 9. O					
client contact (with phone numbers), year services provided, construction cost (if applicable), and a narrative description of how they relate to this project. 2. Provide examples of the Project Manager's City experience within the past five (6) years that serve to demonstrate the the Project Manager's knowledge of City procedures. 17. Technical Approach 18. Describe respondents understanding of the project scope. 2. Describe how respondent plans to perform the services required by the project scope. 3. Describe specialized problem solving required in any phase of the project. 18. Cost Control 19. Describe specialized problem solving required in any phase of the project. 19. Cost Control 10. Describe cost control and cost estimating techniques to be used for this project. 20. Provide comparisons of bid award amount to final cost estimate for projects designed by the respondent during the past two (2) years. The consultant may provide justification for any discrepancies that may exist with this information. 19. Quality and Content of Proposal 10. Evaluator's rating of overall quality of proposal. 10. Total Possible Points 10. South of the project scope. 10. South of the project scope. 11. Evaluator's rating of overall quality of proposal. 10. South of the project scope. 11. Evaluator's rating of overall quality of proposal. 11. Evaluator's rating of overall quality of proposal. 12. South of the project scope. 13. Describe points 18. South of the project scope. 19. South of the					
2. Provide examples of the Project Manager's City experience within the past five (6) years that serve to demonstrate the the Project Manager's knowledge of City procedures. IV. Technical Approach 1. Describe respondent's understanding of the project scope. 2. Describe how respondent sunderstanding of the project scope. 3. Describe specialized problem solving required in any phase of the project. V. Cost Control 1. Describe cost control and cost estimating techniques to be used for this project. 2. Provide comparisons of bid award amount to final cost estimate for projects designed by the respondent during the past two (2) years. The consultant may provide justification for any discrepancies that may exist with this information. VI. Quality and Content of Proposal 1. Evaluator's rating of overall quality of proposal. Total Possible Points 500 500 500 500 50 Total Points (Before Point Deductions) 428 425 38 Minus High and Low Scores Total Total Points (Minus High and Low Scores) Minus Point Deductions (If Applicable) 2. Quality Total (All Applicable Deductions Applied) 2. Plus Tie Breaker Points (If Applicable) 3. AC TOTAL SCORES Plus Interview Scores 0 0 0	client contact (with phone numbers), year services provided, construction cost (if applicable), and a narrative description	150	126	131	107
1. Describe respondent land restanding of the project scope. 2. Describe how respondent plans to perform the services required by the project scope. 3. Describe specialized problem solving required in any phase of the project. V. Cost Control 1. Describe cost control and cost estimating techniques to be used for this project. 2. Provide comparisons of bid award amount to final cost estimate for projects designed by the respondent during the past two (2) years. The consultant may provide justification for any discrepancies that may exist with this information. VI. Quality and Content of Proposal 1. Evaluator's rating of overall quality of proposal. Total Possible Points Total Possible Points Total Points (Before Point Deductions) Minus High and Low Scores Total Total Points (Minus High and Low Scores) Minus Point Deductions (If Applicable) Sub-Total (All Applicable) Sub-Total (All Applicable) SaC TOTAL SCORES Plus Tile Breaker Points (If Applicable) Plus Interview Scores 150 124 124 124 11 125 126 127 128 129 129 120 124 124 124 11 124 124 11 124 124 11 124 11 124 124 11 124 124 11 124 1	Provide examples of the Project Manager's City experience within the past five (5) years that serve to demonstrate the	130	120	131	107
3. Describe specialized problem solving required in any phase of the project. V. Cost Control 1. Describe cost control and cost estimating techniques to be used for this project. 2. Provide comparisons of bid award amount to final cost estimate for projects designed by the respondent during the past two (2) years. The consultant may provide justification for any discrepancies that may exist with this information. VI. Quality and Content of Proposal 1. Evaluator's rating of overall quality of proposal. Total Possible Points Total Points (Before Point Deductions) Minus High and Low Scores Total Total Points (Minus High and Low Scores) Minus Point Deductions (If Applicable) Sub-Total (All Applicable Deduction Applied) Plus Tie Breaker Points (If Applicable) SAC TOTAL SCORES Plus Interview Scores 0 0 0	Describe respondent's understanding of the project scope. Describe how respondent plans to perform the services				
1. Describe cost control and cost estimating techniques to be used for this project. 2. Provide comparisons of bid award amount to final cost estimate for projects designed by the respondent during the past two (2) years. The consultant may provide justiffication for any discrepancies that may exist with this information. VI. Quality and Content of Proposal 1. Evaluator's rating of overall quality of proposal. Total Possible Points Total Points (Before Point Deductions) Minus High and Low Scores Total Total Points (Minus High and Low Scores Total Total Points (Minus High and Low Scores) Minus Point Deductions (If Applicable) Sub-Total (All Applicable) Deductions (Applicable) Plus Tie Breaker Points (If Applicable) SAC TOTAL SCORES Plus Interview Scores 0 0 0	Describe specialized problem solving required in any	150	124	124	110
used for this project. 2. Provide comparisons of bid award amount to final cost estimate for projects designed by the respondent during the past two (2) years. The consultant may provide justification for any discrepancies that may exist with this information. 25 23 22 2 VI. Quality and Content of Proposal 50 41 40 3 1. Evaluator's rating of overall quality of proposal. 50 500 500 50 Total Possible Points 500 500 50 50 Total Points (Before Point Deductions) 428 425 38 Minus High and Low Scores Total 169 171 16 Total Points (Minus High and Low Scores) 259 254 22 Minus Point Deductions (If Applicable) 2 0 0 Sub-Total (All Applicable Deductions Applied) 257 254 22 Plus Tie Breaker Points (If Applicable) 0 0 0 SAC TOTAL SCORES 0 0 0	V. Cost Control				
estimate for projects designed by the respondent during the past two (2) years. The consultant may provide justification for any discrepancies that may exist with this information. VI. Quality and Content of Proposal 1. Evaluator's rating of overall quality of proposal. Total Possible Points 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 50	used for this project.				
this information. VI. Quality and Content of Proposal 41 40 3 1. Evaluator's rating of overall quality of proposal. 50 41 40 3 Total Possible Points 500 500 500 50 Total Points (Before Point Deductions) 428 425 38 Minus High and Low Scores Total 169 171 16 Total Points (Minus High and Low Scores) 259 254 22 Minus Point Deductions (If Applicable) 2 0 0 Sub-Total (All Applicable Deductions Applied) 257 254 22 Plus Tie Breaker Points (If Applicable) 0 0 0 SAC TOTAL SCORES 257 254 22 Plus Interview Scores 0 0 0	estimate for projects designed by the respondent during	25	23	22	22
VI. Quality and Content of Proposal 50 41 40 3 1. Evaluator's rating of overall quality of proposal. 500 500 500 50 Total Possible Points 500 500 50 50 Total Points (Before Point Deductions) 428 425 38 Minus High and Low Scores Total 169 171 16 Total Points (Minus High and Low Scores) 259 254 22 Minus Point Deductions (If Applicable) 2 0 Sub-Total (All Applicable Deductions Applied) 257 254 22 Plus Tie Breaker Points (If Applicable) 0 0 0 SAC TOTAL SCORES 257 254 22 Plus Interview Scores 0 0 0	justification for any discrepancies that may exist with				
1. Evaluator's rating of overall quality of proposal. 50	this information.				
Total Points (Before Point Deductions) 428 425 38 Minus High and Low Scores Total 169 171 16 Total Points (Minus High and Low Scores) 259 254 22 Minus Point Deductions (If Applicable) 2 0 Sub-Total (All Applicable Deductions Applied) 257 254 22 Plus Tie Breaker Points (If Applicable) 0 0 0 SAC TOTAL SCORES 257 254 22 Plus Interview Scores 0 0 0		50	41	40	38
Total Points (Before Point Deductions) 428 425 38 Minus High and Low Scores Total 169 171 16 Total Points (Minus High and Low Scores) 259 254 22 Minus Point Deductions (If Applicable) 2 0 Sub-Total (All Applicable Deductions Applied) 257 254 22 Plus Tie Breaker Points (If Applicable) 0 0 0 SAC TOTAL SCORES 257 254 22 Plus Interview Scores 0 0 0	Total Possible Points	500	500	500	500
Minus High and Low Scores Total 169 171 16 Total Points (Minus High and Low Scores) 259 254 22 Minus Point Deductions (If Applicable) 2 0 Sub-Total (All Applicable Deductions Applied) 257 254 22 Plus Tie Breaker Points (If Applicable) 0 0 0 SAC TOTAL SCORES 257 254 22 Plus Interview Scores 0 0 0		300			384
Total Points (Minus High and Low Scores) 259 254 22 Minus Point Deductions (If Applicable) 2 0 Sub-Total (All Applicable Deductions Applied) 257 254 22 Plus Tie Breaker Points (If Applicable) 0 0 0 SAC TOTAL SCORES 257 254 22 Plus Interview Scores 0 0 0					163
Minus Point Deductions (If Applicable) 2 0 Sub-Total (All Applicable Deductions Applied) 257 254 22 Plus Tie Breaker Points (If Applicable) 0 0 0 SAC TOTAL SCORES 257 254 22 Plus Interview Scores 0 0 0	· ·				221
Sub-Total (All Applicable Deductions Applied) 257 254 22 Plus Tie Breaker Points (If Applicable) 0 0 0 SAC TOTAL SCORES 257 254 22 Plus Interview Scores 0 0 0					0
Description	, ,, ,			_	221
SAC TOTAL SCORES 257 254 22 Plus Interview Scores 0 0					0
	, ,, ,		257	254	221
	Dive Intension Cours		0	^	
LINIAL PROPERTY AND A SECOND S	FINAL SCORES		257	254	221

Minutes of the Meeting of the Selection Advisory Committee April 29, 2020

via Email

Landscape Architectural Consultant for Singing Arrow and Tijeras Arroyo Open Space Improvements

Project No. 5423.90

Present:

Colleen Langan-McRoberts, Project Manager, Department of Parks and Recreation Laurie Firor, RLA, Department of Parks and Recreation Jesse Scott, RLA, Department of Parks and Recreation Jess Martinez, Family & Community Services David Flores, RLA, Department of Parks and Recreation

Staff:

Myrna Marquez, Administrator, Selection Advisory Committee

Three proposals were received in response to the Request for Proposals.

Project Description:

Improvements to Open Space areas at Singing Arrow and the Tijeras Arroyo in SE Albuquerque. The projects may include the need for studies, analysis, site planning, design, bidding, and/or construction phase services.

Estimated Compensation

\$ 200,000.00

The Administrator contacted the SAC Committee and RFP respondents on April 21, 2020 and advised them that this meeting would take place via email. She reminded the SAC Committee to have their scores and comments emailed to her by 1:00pm on April 29, 2020.

Members commented that all three respondents had great experience and therefore made this a tough choice. It was noted, however, that two of the firms had a stronger grasp of the scope and the team experience, both with the City and elsewhere, to address a wide variety of issues that may surface. Furthermore, the all-local team offers a much larger variety of professional disciplines that addresses not only physical, but cultural & historical elements. In addition, the all-local team provided a very good variety of relevant projects, mostly in Albuquerque and directly with the City, with references to Project Manager's extensive knowledge of a variety of COA procedures, both in planning and design; while the other strong proposal included relevant

example projects in terms of scope, there was much reliance on the out-of-state firm's inclusions, and indication of not having the same level of City experience to address a wide range of needs not only in design, but for the potential planning tasks.

The Administrator collected the Committee members' scores and she deleted the high scores and low scores and then totaled the proposal scores. There were no ties to break but point deductions were applied because the two highest ranked firms were within 5% of each other. The Committee and respondents were advised of the final score. The Administrator verified the scores and asked if there was a motion for interviews and reminded the Committee that there would also need to be a second motion for interviews if desired. A motion was made and a second motion followed. The other three Committee members did not speak up for interviews and a vote was never made towards interviews which would have resulted in a consensus by the Committee. The motions for interviews by the two Committee members was withdrawn. Scores were verified prior to submitting the Committee's recommendation to the Mayor.

Final scores reported via the email meeting were as follows:

Consensus Planning Inc. 257
Sites Southwest 254
Surroundings 221

The Administrator informed the Committee of the following score of the firm based on their scores and subject to verification of Total Final Points:

Consensus Planning Inc. 257

There being no further business before the Committee, the Administrator adjourned the email meeting by emailing everyone the final scores.

Myrna Márquez

Myrna Marquez, Administrator Selection Advisory Committee

cc: City Clerk