

EC-20-51 CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

Albuquerque, New Mexico Office of the Mayor

DATE: January 24, 2020

Timothy M. Keller, Mayor

TO:

INTER-OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Patrick Davis, President, City Council

FROM: Timothy M. Keller, Mayor

SUBJECT: Mayor's Recommendation of AECOM Technical Services, Inc. for Engineering

Consultants for McMahon Boulevard Widening - Kayenta Street to Unser

Boulevard

The Selection Advisory Committee (SAC) met on January 22, 2020 to consider the following project:

Project: Project No. 7226.90; Engineering Consultants for McMahon Boulevard Widening

- Kayenta Street to Unser Boulevard

Agency: Department of Municipal Development

Three proposals were received in response to the Request for Proposals.

Project Description: Design and construction of the roadway widening of McMahon Boulevard, from Kayenta Street to Unser Boulevard. This project includes reconstruction of the existing roadway section of McMahon Boulevard. The required infrastructure consists of paving, signing and striping, curb and gutter, ADA compliant sidewalk, multi-use trail, bike lanes, storm drain improvements, retaining wall structures, channel and slope stabilization, street lighting, traffic operations analysis, utility relocation, and traffic signal design and modification. The project will require geotechnical testing, pavement evaluation, drainage analysis, public involvement, topographical survey, property legals and exhibits, construction management, and material testing including quality control and quality assurance. (Approximately 1.5 miles)

The Committee made the following recommendation:

AECOM Technical Services, Inc. Souder, Miller, & Associates T.Y. Lin International

The Cover Analysis, Score-Sheet Compilation and Minutes of the SAC Meeting are attached.

Therefore, in accordance with Section 14-7-2-1 et seq, ROA 1994, the following is my consultant selection recommendation concerning the procurement of professional services for the above listed project:

AECOM Technical Services, Inc.

Mayor's Recommendation of AECOM Technical Services, Inc. for Project No. 7226.90; Engineering Consultants for McMahon Boulevard Widening – Kayenta Street to Unser Boulevard.

This recommendation is being forwarded for Council consideration and action.

Approved:

Sarita Nair, JD, MCRP

Chief Administrative Officer

Approved as to Legal Form:

City Attorney

Recommended:

Ratrick Montoya, Dir

Department of Municipal Development

Oc 1/27/2020

MIM

Attachments:

Cover Analysis Composite SAC Evaluation Form Minutes of the SAC Meeting

Cover Analysis

1. What is it?

A request for Professional Engineering Services.

2. What will this piece of legislation do?

This piece of legislation will provide the design of the roadway widening of McMahon Boulevard from Kayenta Street to Unser Boulevard.

3. Why is this project needed?

This project is needed because it will provide the necessary lane widths, curb and gutter, and bicyclist and pedestrian facilities from Kayenta Street to Unser Boulevard.

4. How much will it cost and what is the funding source?

The construction is anticipated to cost approximately \$5,000,000.00. The funding sources are identified and appropriated from the Capital Outlay Grant and the remaining from the Westside Capital Outlay Grant.

5. Is there a revenue source associated with this contract? If so, what level of income is projected?

There is no revenue source associated with this agreement.

6. What will happen if the project is not approved?

Because there aren't any pedestrian or bicycle facilities currently between Kayenta Street and Unser Boulevard, the roadway will remain only two lanes and in poor condition.

7. Is this service already provided by another entity?

No other entity provides these services for the City of Albuquerque

Composite Selection Advisory Committee Evaluation Form

Date: 1/22/20

Project No: 7226.90; Engineering Consultants for McMahon Boulevard Widening - Kayenta Street to Unser Boulevard

Evaluation Criteria	Maximum	Firm Name	Firm Name	Firm Name
	Points	AECOM	Sounder, Miller & Associates	T.Y. Lin International
General Information Provide Name and Address of Respondent and, if firm, when firm was established. Provide number of employees, technical discipline and registration. Indicate where the services are to be performed.	20	17	18	20
II. Project Team Members				
1. Provide organization plan for management of the project. 2. Identify all consultants to be used on the project. 3. Provide qualifications of project team members shown in organization plan, including registration and membership in professional organizations. 4. Provide any unique knowledge of key team members relevant to the project.	100	85	84	84
II. Respondent Experience	*		100 0 100 0	
Describe previous projects of a similar nature, including client contact (with phone numbers), year services provided, construction cost (if applicable), and a narrative description of how they relate to this project. Provide examples of the Project Manager's City experience within the past five (5) years that serve to demonstrate the the Project Manager's knowledge of City procedures.	120	104	97	96
V. Technical Approach Describe respondent's understanding of the project scope. Describe how respondent plans to perform the services required by the project scope. Describe specialized problem solving required in any phase of the project.	100	89	83	83
V. Cost Control Describe cost control and cost estimating techniques to be used for this project. Provide comparisons of bid award amount to final cost estimate for projects designed by the respondent during the past two (2) years. The consultant may provide justification for any discrepancies that may exist with	40	34	30	30
this information.				
 Quality and Content of Proposal Evaluator's rating of overall quality of proposal. 	20	18	17	17
Total Possible Points	400	400	400	400
Total Points (Before Point Deductions)		347	329	330
Minus High and Low Scores Total		174	163	164
Total Points (Minus High and Low Scores)		173	166	166
Minus Point Deductions (If Applicable)		0	6	0
Sub-Total (All Applicable Deductions Applied)		173	160	166
Plus Tie Breaker Points (If Applicable)		0	0	1
SAC TOTAL SCORES		173	160	167
Plus Interview Scores	ı	0	0	0
FINAL SCORES		173	160	167

Minutes of the Meeting of the Selection Advisory Committee

Room 7096, City County Government Center

Engineering Consultants for McMahon Boulevard Widening – Kayenta Street to Unser Boulevard

Project No. 7226.90

Present:

Bridgette Garrett, Project Manager, Department of Municipal Development Savannah Torres, PE, Department of Municipal Development Paula Dodge-Kwan, PE, Department of Municipal Development Logan Patz, PE, Department of Municipal Development

Natalie Kane, Department of Municipal Development, was identified as the fifth SAC Committee member but was not present due to a medical emergency.

Others:

AECOM Technical Services, Inc. Souder, Miller, & Associates T.Y. Lin International

Staff:

Myrna Marquez, Administrator, Selection Advisory Committee

Three proposals were received in response to the Notice of Request for Proposals.

Project Description:

Design and construction of the roadway widening of McMahon Boulevard, from Kayenta Street to Unser Boulevard. This project includes reconstruction of the existing roadway section of McMahon Boulevard. The required infrastructure consists of paving, signing and striping, curb and gutter, ADA compliant sidewalk, multi-use trail, bike lanes, storm drain improvements, retaining wall structures, channel and slope stabilization, street lighting, traffic operations analysis, utility relocation, and traffic signal design and modification. The project will require geotechnical testing, pavement evaluation, drainage analysis, public involvement, topographical survey, property legals and exhibits, construction management, and material testing including quality control and quality assurance. (Approximately 1.5 miles)

Approximate Construction Cost:

The Administrator called the meeting to order at 11:30am to review responses to the project. She reminded the Committee members of the section of the Rules and Regulations regarding lobbying and asked if anyone would like to make a motion to discuss the issue further. No motion was forthcoming.

The Administrator asked each Committee member to comment on the proposal, but to withhold giving their scores until all discussions ended. Members thanked the respondents for their interest in the project and said all proposals were pretty good overall and commented that they appreciated the effort from all the firms.

The Administrator asked the Committee members to report their scores and she deleted the high scores and low scores and then totaled the proposal scores. A tie resulted in the scoring between the two lower ranked firms so one point was added to the firm whose highest score was dropped. At this point, the two highest scores were within 5% of each other's scores therefore point deductions were applied. Finally, the Committee was advised of the final scores. The Administrator stated that the proposal scores would be verified prior to submitting the Committee's recommendation to the Mayor.

Final scores reported at the meeting were as follows:

AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	173
Souder, Miller & Associates	160
T.Y. Lin International	167

The Administrator asked if any Committee member wanted to make a motion to conduct interviews. No motion was made to do so.

In accordance with the Rules and Regulations, subject to verification of the scores, the following firms are the Committee's recommendation.

AECOM Technical Services, Inc. Souder, Miller & Associates T.Y. Lin International

There being no further business before the Committee, the Administrator adjourned the meeting at 11:40am.

Myrna Marquez, Administrator Selection Advisory Committee

cc: City Clerk