CITY of ALBUQUERQUE TWENTY SECOND COUNCIL

ENACTMENT NO.

•	
SPONSORED BY: Dan Lewis and Don Harris	
1	RESOLUTION
2	DIRECTING THE CITY ADMINISTRATION TO EVALUATE THE PERFORMANCE
3	OF THE ALBUQUERQUE RAPID TRANSIT (A.R.T.) PROJECT AS IT IMPACTS

FC/S R-17-177

5 AND CHANGES IN TRAFFIC ON SPECIFIED ALTERNATIVE ROUTES, AND

TRAFFIC ALONG CENTRAL AVENUE, CHANGES IN TRANSIT RIDERSHIP,

6 ECONOMIC IMPACTS ON BUSINESSES ABUTTING THE CORRIDOR AFTER IT

7 HAS BEEN IN OPERATION FOR THE SIXTY-DAY PERIOD STARTING ONE

THREE MONTHS AFTER PROJECT COMPLETION COMPARED WITH THE

SAME SIXTY-DAY PERIOD IN 2015, THE SAME SIXTY-DAY PERIOD IN 2013,

10 AND THE SAME SIXTY-DAY PERIOD IN 2010ONE YEAR PRIOR AND THE

SAME SIXTY-DAY PERIOD TWO YEARS PRIOR; AND TO WORK WITH

<u>ADJACENT AFFECTED</u> COMMUNITIES TO MITIGATE <u>ANY</u> NEGATIVE IMPACTS

<u>IDENTIFIED</u>REVEALED BY THOSE ASSESSMENTS, TO INCLUDE AN OPTION

TO RE-OPENING DEDICATED TRANSIT LANES TO GENERAL TRAFFIC. THE

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENTS OUTLINED BELOW ARE TO BE

EVALUATED BY A THIRD PARTY THAT IS INDEPENDENT OF BOTH THE CITY

TRANSIT DEPARTMENT AND MRCOG.

WHEREAS; the reduction of general vehicle traffic lanes along Central Avenue during A.R.T. construction has limited accessibility to stores, restaurants and other businesses along the corridor, leading to <u>potential</u> loss of revenue and <u>business closures</u>; and

WHEREAS; <u>residents in neighborhoods</u> along Central Avenue have reported <u>significantly</u> increased traffic, <u>illegal and harmful associated</u> vehicle noise, illegal transit of overweight trucks, and collisions on parallel and connecting streets as a result of traffic diverted from Central Avenue; and

[Bracketed/Underscored Material] - New Bracketed/Strikethrough Material] - Deletion

COUNCIL BILL NO.

4

8

9

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

29

30

31

32

WHEREAS; A.R.T.'s current design will make the reduction to single lanes of traffic permanent throughout many of the busiest stretches of Central Avenue, including throughin Nob Hill and through the narrow portions of Central Avenue east and west of East Downtown, and:

WHEREAS: community members have stated that the Transit Department has not sufficiently responded to their community concerns about pedestrian safety while crossing Central Avenue or accessing A.R.T. stations in the median, especially with regard to children and people with limited mobility; and

WHEREAS; while the A.R.T. project team has conducted 24 full public meetings and had more than 8,000 one-on-one citizen contacts, many business owners and members of the community feel that the public process to develop the A.R.T. project did not adequately consult or collaborate on major design decisions with business owners, commercial and residential property owners, and neighborhood representatives in the crucial early stages of the project; and

WHEREAS; after the project had already begun, 76.47% of Albuquerque voters voted in favor of the following advisory question placed on the November 8, 2016 election ballot by the County of Bernalillo: "Are you in favor of giving voters residing in the City of Albuquerque municipal limits the chance to vote in support of or opposition to the proposed Albuquerque Rapid Transit Project?": and

WHEREAS; in federal court testimony and in public meetings, the Transit Department has indicated that it would be easy to re-convert A.R.T.'s "bus only" lanes could be re-converted to general purpose traffic lanes if Central's businesses and adjoining neighborhoods were being significantly harmed by the reduction in traffic lanes caused by A.R.T.'s current design; and

WHEREAS, the ART project was developed under the FTA Small Starts program using MAP-21 procedures and is therefore not subject to the general FTA requirement that sponsors of Bus Rapid Transit projects conduct a before-and-after study to document the project's outcome, though the FTA does encourage project sponsors to complete such a study,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

1 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL, THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OF 2 ALBUQUERQUE:

Section 1. That the City shall measure the A.R.T. project's <u>post-construction</u> traffic <u>impact</u> to evaluate <u>and compare to the professional engineering design and models whether adverse impacts exceed the City's prior expectations as <u>described included</u> in <u>its application for an the FTA Small Starts grant <u>application</u> and Categorical Exclusion. The study shall measure changes in traffic on Central Avenue to include speed data, traffic counts, and crash data; changes in transit ridership <u>on Central Avenue</u>; and changes in traffic on Coal Avenue, Lead Avenue, and Lomas Boulevard to be measured by speed data, traffic counts, and crash data.</u></u>

Section 2. That the City shall measure the A.R.T. project's adverse economic impact on businesses located on Central Avenue. The economic impact study shall include total sales figures of all affected businesses along Central based on the aggregate block-by-block CRS filing with the state of New Mexico.

Section 23. The actual performance of the A.R.T. project compared with the targetsprofessional engineering design and models included set forth in the Small Starts grant application and Categorical Exclusion shall be evaluated pursuant to Sections 1 and 2 above after the system has been in operation for the sixty-day period starting threeone months after project completion compared with the same sixty-day period in 2015, one year prior and the same sixty-day period two years prior 2013, and the same sixty-day period in 2010. Should these measures vary significantly from the expectations professional engineering design and models described in the FTA Small Starts grant application and Categorical Exclusion, the City shall create, in consultation with the adjacentaffected communities, design changesplans to improve the performance of the system and to mitigate adverse traffic impacts that may include the following options: (1) to convert bus-only lanes back to general purpose traffic lanes, and (2) to reinstate the left turns eliminated by the ART project, and (3) to work with the FTA to approve retrofit design modifications necessary to mitigate adverse impacts.