CITY of ALBUQUERQUE TWENTY-FIRST COUNCIL

C	OUNCI	L BILL NO. R-15-150 ENACTMENT NO.								
SPONSORED BY: Klarissa J. Peña, by request										
	1	RESOLUTION								
	2	APPROVING THE PROGRAMMING OF FUNDS AND PROJECTS FO	OR THE 2015-							
	3	2024 DECADE PLAN FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS INCLUDIN	IG THE 2015							
	4	TWO-YEAR CAPITAL BUDGET.								
	5	WHEREAS, 2-12-2 ROA 1994, requires the Mayor to formulate the	Decade Plan							
	6	for Capital Improvements for the City of Albuquerque; and								
	7	WHEREAS, the Decade Plan must be submitted on a biennial bas	is with a Two-							
	8	Year Capital Budget and four, six, eight and ten year planning elements, including								
	9	those detailed requirements for program development and project scope, schedule,								
_ :	= 10	budget, justification, and alternatives; and								
- New	11	WHEREAS, the appropriations for the '15 General Obligation Bond projects will								
<u> </u>	12	be enacted after the 2015 Bond Issue has been submitted to and approved by the								
<u>ja</u> ;	13 14 15 16 17	voters, and therefore, the program totals and project budgets are submitted to the								
ate	14	City Council as a planning program.								
Σ S	15	BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL, THE GOVERNING BODY OF	THE CITY OF							
Sore	5 16	ALBUQUERQUE:								
lers(17	Section 1. The following projects and amounts are hereby approve	d for the 2015							
Unc	18	Two-year General Obligation Bond Capital Budget.								
[+ Bracketed/	ភ្ 19	Category / Project Title	<u>Amount</u>							
cke	20	DMD/Streets								
Ba	21	University Boulevard Multi-Modal Improvements	\$2,500,000							
± 9	<u> </u>	Chappell Road	\$1,500,000							
	23	Central Avenue (TSM/ITS) Improvements	\$500,000							
	24	Reconstruct Major Streets	\$2,000,000							
	25	Reconstruct Major Intersections	\$2,000,000							
	26	Neigh. Traffic Mgmt & Advance Transp. Planning & Eng. (Streets)	\$700,000							

	1	Advance Right-of-Way Acquisition (Streets)	\$1,000,000						
	2	Ladera Road	\$1,500,000						
	3	Major Paving Rehabilitation							
	4	4 Intersection Signalization							
	5	Albuquerque Traffic Mgmt System/Intelligent Traffic System (ITS)	\$1,000,000						
	6	Bridge Repair	\$900,000						
	7	Mandatory Traffic Sign Replace./Pavement Mrkgs (Federal Mandate)	\$2,300,000						
	8	Innovation Trail: Rail Yards to Convention Center	\$1,000,000						
	9	Safety and Intersection Improvements	\$2,000,000						
	10	Public Works Funding (Streets)	\$400,000						
	11	Replace Street Maintenance Equipment	\$1,400,000						
	12	Street Lighting							
	13	Median and Interstate Landscaping							
	14	Intersection Level of Service (LOS) Project							
	15	Trails and Bikeways (5% Mandate)	\$1,600,000						
	16	Total DMD/Streets	<u>\$32,400,000</u>						
_	17	DMD/Storm Drainage							
lew is	18	NPDES Stormwater Quality MS4 Permit Compliance	\$2,000,000						
] - New	19	Martineztown Storm Drain Rehabilitation	\$1,000,000						
# -	20 21 22	Storm Drain and Pump Station Rehab							
Iteri	21	Emergency Action Plans and Rehabilitation for City Dams	\$400,000						
Ma	22	Advanced Planning and Engineering	\$200,000						
ored orb	23	Storm Sys. Water Quality Fac. & Low Impact Retrofit/Munic. Fac.	\$1,000,000						
ISC	24	Mid-Valley Storm Drainage Improvements							
Inde	25	South Broadway Master Plan Drainage Improvements	\$1,000,000						
JQ/C	26	Loma Hermosa NW Flooding Relief							
[+ Bracketed/Underscored	27	Arroyo Flash Flood Indication System	\$300,000						
3rac	28	Phoenix NE Storm Drain	\$1,000,000						
+ q	29	Kirtland Airforce Base South Detention Basin	\$50,000						
_	3 0	Gibson-San Mateo Flood Plain Mitigation	\$50,000						
	31	Hahn Arroyo Structural Rehab and Water Quality Features	\$1,000,000						
	32	McKnight and Mary Ellen Storm Drains	\$400,000						
	33	Townsend SW	\$900,000						

	1	Montgomery NE Storm Drain	\$700,000						
	2	Total DMD/Storm Drainage	<u>\$14,300,000</u>						
	3	Parks & Recreation							
	4	Park Renovation	\$2,000,000						
	5	Recreation Facility Renovations	\$900,000						
	6	Swimming Pool Renovation	\$750,000						
	7	Open Space Land Acquisition and Facility Improvements	\$200,000						
	8	118th St. Regional Sports Complex	\$3,000,000						
	9	Balloon Fiesta Park Improvements	\$450,000						
	10	New Park Development and Land Acquisition	\$850,000						
	11	North Domingo Baca Swimming Pool	\$1,000,000						
	12	Vehicle and Equipment	\$800,000						
	13	Total Parks & Recreation	<u>\$9,950,000</u>						
	14	Public Safety/Fire							
	15	Apparatus Replacement	\$2,500,000						
	16	Facility Repair, Renovation, and Rehabilitation	\$1,000,000						
c	17	Construction of Fire Station 9	\$2,000,000						
- New	18	Sub-Total Fire	\$5,500,000						
]-	19	Public Safety/Police							
<u>a</u>	_20	Marked Police Vehicles	\$4,000,000						
Material+	21	Renovation and Repair of APD Facilities	\$1,000,000						
		Southeast Area Command	\$400,000						
orec	23	Sub-Total Police	<u>\$5,400,000</u>						
FSC	24	Total Public Safety	<u>\$10,900,000</u>						
[+ Bracketed/Underscored	25	ABQ Ride/Transit							
ed/L	26	Revenue and Support Vehicle Replacement / Expansion	\$3,790,500						
ket	27	Transit Facility Rehabilitation	\$125,000						
Brac	28	Bus Stop Improvements	\$47,000						
± å	29	Transit Security Upgrades and Improvements	\$62,500						
	30	Transit Technology	\$375,000						
	31	Total ABQ Ride/Transit	<u>\$4,400,000</u>						
	32	Animal Welfare							
	33	Animal Shelter Rehabilitation and Equipment	\$1,000,000						

	1	Sub-Total Animal Welfare	<u>\$1,000,000</u>					
	2	Community Facilities/Cultural Services – Albuquerque Biological Park						
	3	Renovation & Repair (BioPark)						
	4	Sub-Total Cultural Services – Albuquerque Biological Park						
	5	Community Facilities/Cultural Services – Balloon Museum						
	6	Balloon Museum Exhibit Development	\$200,000					
	7	Sub-Total Cultural Services – Balloon Museum	\$200,000					
	8	Community Facilities/Cultural Services – Library						
	9	Library Materials	\$3,000,000					
	10	Library Automation	\$800,000					
	11	Library Building Renovations	\$800,000					
	12	Sub-Total Cultural Services – Library	<u>\$4,600,000</u>					
	13	Community Facilities/Cultural Services – Museum						
	14	Casa San Ysidro	\$200,000					
	15	Sub-Total Cultural Services – Museum	\$200,000					
	16	Community Facilities/DMD – CIP Division						
,	17	Replacement Vehicles (DMD)	\$100,000					
- New	18 19 19	CIP Parks Construction Heavy Equipment	\$300,000					
- 2	19	Sub-Total DMD – CIP Division	\$400,000					
<u>+</u>	<u>'</u> 20	Community Facilities/DMD – Facilities, Energy, Security & Parking						
Material+	21	City Building Improvement and Rehabilitation	\$850,000					
		Roof Repairs for City Facilities	\$100,000					
orec	23	Parking Facilities Rehabilitation and Upgrade	\$100,000					
rsc	24	Security Improvements and Rehabilitation	\$50,000					
Bracketed/Underscored	23 24 25 25 26	Sub-Total DMD – Facilities, Energy, Security & Parking	<u>\$1,100,000</u>					
		Community Facilities/Environmental Health						
ket	27 28 28	Health and Safety Equipment, Vehicles and Facilities	\$600,000					
Brac	28	Los Angeles Landfill Remediation	\$250,000					
	<u> </u>	EPA Ozone Mandate	\$100,000					
-	30	Environmental Health Satellite Facility Rehabilitation	\$250,000					
	31	Sub-Total Environmental Health	<u>\$1,200,000</u>					
	32	Community Facilities/Family & Community Services						
	33	Renov., Repair, Security & Tech. Improv.: Existing FCSD Fac.	\$2,300,000					

	1	Pat Hurley Community Center	\$1,400,000
	2	Dennis Chavez Community Center	\$1,400,000
	3	Westgate Community Center	\$1,250,000
	4	Vehicle and Equipment Replacement: FCS	\$200,000
	5	Affordable Housing	\$2,400,000
	6	Sub-Total Family & Community Services	<u>\$8,950,000</u>
	7	Community Facilities/Finance & Administrative Services	
	8	IT Infrastructure Upgrade	\$1,500,000
	9	Network Equipment Upgrade	\$750,000
	10	Cyber Security	\$250,000
	11	Business Application Technology	\$1,500,000
	12	3% for Energy Conservation Program	\$3,450,000
	13	Sub-Total Finance & Administrative Services	<u>\$7,450,000</u>
	14	Community Facilities/Planning – Administration	
	15	Electronic Plan Review (ePlan)	\$100,000
	16	Albuquerque Geographic Information System (AGIS)	\$250,000
_	17	Planning Hardware and Software Upgrades	\$50,000
- New Deletion	18	Plaza del Sol Rehabilitation	\$70,000
]- 7	19	Planning Department Vehicles	\$80,000
# -	20	Comprehensive Community Planning/Revitalization	\$400,000
Material+	21	Sub-Total Planning – Administration	<u>\$950,000</u>
	22	Community Facilities/Planning – MRA	
ored	23	Rail Yards	\$1,500,000
rsc	24	Innovation District/Downtown Improvements	\$250,000
Bracketed/Underscored	25	Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Development Fund	\$400,000
ed/L	26	East Gateway Metropolitan Redevelopment Area	\$100,000
ket	27	West Central Metropolitan Redevelopment Area	\$100,000
Brac	28	Sub-Total Planning – MRA	\$2,350,000
± å	29	Community Facilities/Senior Affairs	
	30	Senior Affairs Facility Renovation/Rehabilitation	\$1,000,000
	31	Sub-Total Senior Affairs	\$1,000,000
	32	Total Community Facilities	\$32,900,000
	33	Council – Neighborhood Set-Aside	\$9,000,000

	1	1% for each Bond Purpose for Public Art	<u>\$1,150,000</u>
	2	TOTAL GENERAL OBLIGATION	<u>\$115,000,000</u>
	3	General Obligation Summary	
	4	DMD/Streets	\$32,400,000
	5	DMD/Storm Drainage	\$14,300,000
	6	Parks & Recreation	\$9,950,000
	7	Public Safety	
	8	Fire	\$5,500,000
	9	Police	\$5,400,000
	10	Total Public Safety	<u>\$10,900,000</u>
	11	ABQ Ride/Transit	<u>\$4,400,000</u>
	12	Community Facilities	
	13	Animal Welfare	\$1,000,000
	14	Cultural Services – Albuquerque Biological Park	\$3,500,000
	15	Cultural Services – Balloon Museum	\$200,000
	16	Cultural Services – Library	\$4,600,000
_	17	Cultural Services – Museum	\$200,000
- New Jeletion	18	DMD – CIP Division	\$400,000
J-N Dele	19	DMD - Facilities, Energy, Security & Parking	\$1,100,000
<u></u>	20	Environmental Health	\$1,200,000
Material+ aterial-]	21 22	Family & Community Services	\$8,950,000
_ 5	22	Finance & Administrative Services	\$7,450,000
Pred 4	23	Planning – Administration	\$950,000
Fred	24	Planning – MRA	\$2,350,000
Bracketed/Underscored acketed/Strikethrough N	25	Senior Affairs	\$1,000,000
Note:	26	Total Community Facilities	\$32,900,000
Brackete acketed/	27	Council – Neighborhood Set-Aside	\$9,000,000
3rac scke	28	1% for each Bond Purpose for Public Art	<u>\$1,150,000</u>
± 4	29	TOTAL GENERAL OBLIGATION	<u>\$115,000,000</u>
	30	Section 2. That the Decade Plan for Capital Improvements, 2	015 through 2024
	31	including the individual project budgets and descriptions, is here	by approved and
	32	made a part of this Resolution.	
	33	Section 3. 1% for Public Art will be calculated based on the final	al version of this

1	Resolution and	added to ea	ch bond	question	presented	to the vo	oters
---	----------------	-------------	---------	----------	-----------	-----------	-------

Section 4. 3% for Energy Conservation will be re-calculated if necessary based on the final version of this Resolution.

Section 5. Projects outlined in this resolution will be grouped by general obligation bond purpose and placed on the municipal election ballot October 6, 2015 for consideration by the voters. Projects listed within a particular purpose constitute a plan, approved by the City Council, to spend general obligation bond funds after those funds are approved by the voters. Funding for projects identified within one bond purpose may be reallocated to another project within the same purpose, provided that any such reallocation is approved by appropriate legislation.



CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

Albuquerque, New Mexico Office of the Mayor

DATE: December 17, 2014

Mayor Richard J. Berry

TO:

INTER-OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Rey Garduño, President, City Council

FROM: Richard J. Berry, Mayor

SUBJECT: Resolution Adopting the 2015 Decade Plan and General Obligation Bond

Program

I am transmitting the *Decade Plan for Capital Improvements, 2015-2024, Mayor's Recommendation to the City Council,* which includes General Obligation (G.O.) Bond Funds, Enterprise funds, Metropolitan Redevelopment funds, and Consolidated Plan funds. Consistent with the CIP Ordinance, the City Council receives the Decade Plan in each odd-numbered year in preparation for the October bond election. Council action includes the approval of a two-year G.O. Bond capital program for submittal to the voters in the bond election, and adoption of the out-year amounts as a long range plan.

Capital Implementation Program (CIP) staff have coordinated the planning effort and have compiled the plan in conformance with the CIP Ordinance, and policy and criteria direction adopted in R-14-51; Enactment R-2014-037.

The 2015 General Obligation bond program recommended to the City Council totals \$115 million. The program will not require a property tax rate increase, as documented by the City's Investment Advisors, RBC Capital Markets.

As the City Council reviews and conducts public hearings of the Decade Plan, staff from all relevant City departments will be available to answer questions and discuss their capital projects.

The attached resolution is submitted for City Council approval.

Attachments

Page 2

DATE

December 17, 2014

SUBJECT: Resolution Adopting the 2015 Decade Plan and General Obligation Bond Program

Date

Approved:

Robert J. Perry

Chief Administrative Officer

Approved as to Legal Form:

David J. Tourek

City Attorney

Recommended:

Wilfred Gallegos, P.E.

Director Department of Municipal Development

Cover Analysis

1. What is it?

Resolution approving the programming of funds and projects for the 2015-2024 Decade Plan for Capital Improvements, including the 2015 two-year General Obligation Bond Program.

2. What will this piece of legislation do?

This resolution approves the two-year G.O. Bond amounts that will subsequently be grouped into about 11 ballot questions for the October 2015 bond election; it approves the Decade Plan as a long range plan.

3. Why is this project needed?

The resolution is required by the CIP Ordinance, in order to place the bond questions on the municipal election ballot.

4. How much will it cost and what is the funding source?

Attachment C to the Fiscal Impact Report shows the estimated incremental increases in operating and maintenance costs associated with capital projects projected to have an impact on the general fund operating budget for the period FY16 through FY20.

5. Is there a revenue source associated with this Plan? If so, what level of income is projected?

General Obligation Bonds, \$115,000,000

FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

TITLE:								R: FUNI	R: FUND: 305	
								DEP	Γ: Various	
[]	No measurable fiscal impropriations.	oact is anticipa	ted, i.	e., no im	pact on fund	balance	over and	above	existing	
[X]	(If Applicable) The estim this legislation is as follo	ated fiscal impa ws: SEE ATT	act (de	efined as ED ANAI	impact over LYSIS	and abo	ove existi	ng appr	opriations) of	
				Fisca	al Years					
		2014		110000000000000000000000000000000000000	2015	2	2016		Total	
Base Salary/Wages Fringe Benefits at	35.54%								-	
Subtotal Personnel			-				-			-
Operating Expenses Property	3				-				(-)	
Indirect Costs	2.50%		_		_		-		-	
	2.0070				-		-		1777	
Total Expenses		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	_
[] Estimated reven										=
[] Estimated reven										
	Revenue from program Amount of Grant									
	City Cash Match				-		-			
	City Inkind Match									
	City IDOH		-				_		314294	
Total Revenue	war man 🖋 arang war menger	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		-
These estimate	s do <u>not</u> include any adjus	stment for inflat	ion							=

Number of Positions created

COMMENTS: Fiscal Impacts to the general fund for operating and maintenance costs associated with the 2015 General Obligation Bond biennium are shown in Attachment C.

COMMENTS ON NON-MONETARY IMPACTS TO COMMUNITY/CITY GOVERNMENT:

The wide range of basic service and quality of life projects contained in the G.O. Bond Program and Decade Plan benefit every aspect of the life of the community; ranging from clean air and water to paved streets and remediation of flooding; from new and renovated parks to play areas and recreation amenities; from community center and senior center facilities to libraries and museums. These capital facilities support all aspects of daily life for the citizens of Albuquerque.

PREPARED BY:	APPROVED:
C. Chim 12/16/14 FISCAL ANALYST	DIRECTOR (date)
REVIEWED BY: Schaul Languaris EXECUTIVE BUDGET ANALYST	Duall Elzem Jasquer Blain BUDGET OFFICER (date) 12-18-14 Cyty ECONOMIST

^{*} Range if not easily quantifiable.

Attachments

Attachment A

General Obligation Bond Program: Summary Tables

This attachment shows the proposed ten-year plan, by user agency. The first cycle (2015 G.O. Bond Program) grand total is

\$115 million.

Attachment B

Project Operations/Maintenance Cost Impact on General Fund of

Proposed 2015 G.O. Bond Projects

Department Project Request Forms attached. This attachment shows the operating budget impacts for each of the next five fiscal years.

+

Attachment C

Environmental Planning Commission (11/13/14)

Notice of Decision with Findings and Recommendations, and Minutes from EPC Public Hearing on November 13, 2014.

G.O. Bond Summary Totals

Department / Division	2015	2017	2019	2021	2023	<u>Totals</u>
DMD/Streets						
	\$32,400,000	\$34,365,000	\$34,365,000	\$34,365,000	\$44,837,000	\$180,332,000
DMD/Streets Total	\$32,400,000	\$34,365,000	\$34,365,000	\$34,365,000	\$44,837,000	\$180,332,000
DMD/Storm Drainage						
	\$14,300,000	\$14,600,000	\$14,600,000	\$14,600,000	\$18,350,000	\$76,450,000
DMD/Storm Drainage Total	\$14,300,000	\$14,600,000	\$14,600,000	\$14,600,000	\$18,350,000	\$76,450,000
Parks & Recreation						
	\$9,950,000	\$12,000,000	\$11,500,000	\$11,000,000	\$14,000,000	\$58,450,000
Parks & Recreation Total	\$9,950,000	\$12,000,000	\$11,500,000	\$11,000,000	\$14,000,000	\$58,450,000
Public Safety						
Fire	\$5,500,000	\$6,500,000	\$4,500,000	\$4,500,000	\$4,500,000	\$25,500,000
Police	\$5,400,000	\$10,000,000	\$9,500,000	\$6,500,000	\$6,500,000	\$37,900,000
Public Safety Total	\$10,900,000	\$16,500,000	\$14,000,000	\$11,000,000	\$11,000,000	\$63,400,000
ABQ Ride/Transit						
	\$4,400,000	\$4,800,000	\$4,800,000	\$4,800,000	\$6,240,000	\$25,040,000
ABQ Ride/Transit Total	\$4,400,000	\$4,800,000	\$4,800,000	\$4,800,000	\$6,240,000	\$25,040,000
Community Facilities						
Animal Welfare	\$1,000,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$1,000,000	\$3,500,000
Cultural Services	\$8,500,000	\$22,550,000	\$20,050,000	\$18,650,000	\$18,050,000	\$87,800,000
DMD - Facilities, Energy, Security & Parking	\$1,500,000	\$4,800,000	\$3,500,000	\$3,500,000	\$3,500,000	\$16,800,000
Environmental Health	\$1,200,000	\$2,640,000	\$2,640,000	\$2,660,000	\$2,660,000	\$11,800,000
Family & Community Services	\$8,950,000	\$8,200,000	\$8,150,000	\$5,650,000	\$1,000,000	\$31,950,000
Finance & Administrative Services	\$7,450,000	\$7,800,000	\$8,150,000	\$8,150,000	\$8,150,000	\$39,700,000
Planning	\$3,300,000	\$3,500,000	\$3,500,000	\$3,500,000	\$4,400,000	\$18,200,000
Senior Affairs	\$1,000,000	\$3,500,000	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$15,500,000
Community Facilities Total	\$32,900,000	\$53,490,000	\$51,490,000	\$47,610,000	\$39,760,000	\$225,250,000

G.O. Bond Summary Totals

Department / Division	2015	2017	2019	2021	2023	Totals
Mandated Program/Set-Aside						
Council-Neighborhood Set-Aside	\$9,000,000	\$9,000,000	\$9,000,000	\$9,000,000	\$9,000,000	\$45,000,000
1% for each Bond Purpose-Public Art	\$1,150,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000	\$5,750,000
Mandated Program/Set-Aside Total	\$10,150,000	\$10,000,000	\$10,200,000	\$10,200,000	\$10,200,000	\$50,750,000
TOTALS	\$115,000,000	\$145,755,000	\$140,955,000	\$133,575,000	\$144,387,000	\$679,672,000

Department / Division / Project Title	<u>2015</u>	2017	2019	2021	2023	<u>Totals</u>
DMD/Streets						
University Boulevard Multi-Modal Improvements	\$2,500,000					\$2,500,000
Chappell Road	\$1,500,000					\$1,500,000
Central Avenue (TSM/ITS) Improvements	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000			\$1,500,000
Reconstruct Major Streets	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$10,000,000
Reconstruct Major Intersections	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$10,000,000
Neighborhood Traffic Manag. and Advance Transportation Planning and Engineering (Streets)	\$700,000	\$800,000	\$800,000	\$800,000	\$950,000	\$4,050,000
Advance Right-of-Way Acquisition (Streets)	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$5,000,000
Ladera Road	\$1,500,000	\$2,500,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$7,000,000
Major Paving Rehabilitation	\$5,300,000	\$4,800,000	\$4,800,000	\$4,800,000	\$4,800,000	\$24,500,000
Intersection Signalization	\$2,300,000	\$2,300,000	\$2,300,000	\$2,300,000	\$2,300,000	\$11,500,000
Albuquerque Traffic Management System/Intelligent Traffic System (ITS)	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$5,000,000
Bridge Repair	\$900,000	\$900,000	\$900,000	\$900,000	\$900,000	\$4,500,000
Mandatory Traffic Sign Replacement/Pavement Markings (Federal Mandate)	\$2,300,000	\$2,300,000	\$2,300,000	\$2,300,000	\$2,300,000	\$11,500,000
Innovation Trail: Rail Yards to Convention Center	\$1,000,000					\$1,000,000
Safety and Intersection Improvements	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$10,000,000
Public Works Funding (Streets)	\$400,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$2,400,000
Replace Street Maintenance Equipment	\$1,400,000	\$1,400,000	\$1,400,000	\$1,400,000	\$1,400,000	\$7,000,000
Street Lighting	\$500,000	\$425,000	\$425,000	\$425,000	\$425,000	\$2,200,000
Median and Interstate Landscaping	\$1,500,000	\$2,000,000	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$2,000,000	\$8,500,000
Intersection Level of Service (LOS) Project	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$2,500,000
Trails and Bikeways (5% Mandate)	\$1,600,000	\$1,740,000	\$1,740,000	\$1,740,000	\$2,262,000	\$9,082,000
Alameda Boulevard Widening		\$1,500,000	\$2,000,000			\$3,500,000
Irving Boulevard Widening		\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000			\$2,000,000
Osuna Road Widening		\$2,200,000	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	\$9,700,000
Unser Improvements (Central to I-40)		\$1,000,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000		\$3,400,000

Department / Division / Project Title	2015	2017	<u>2019</u>	2021	2023	<u>Totals</u>
Paseo del Norte			\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$3,000,000
Central and Yucca Drive Street Realignment				\$500,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,500,000
San Pedro and Constitution Intersection				\$500,000		\$500,000
Westside Boulevard				\$2,500,000	\$5,000,000	\$7,500,000
90th and 106th Street Grade Separations					\$500,000	\$500,000
Central and Juan Tabo Intersection					\$500,000	\$500,000
Fourth Street Corridor Enhancements					\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000
Paradise Boulevard Widening					\$3,500,000	\$3,500,000
Totals	\$32,400,000	\$34,365,000	\$34,365,000	\$34,365,000	\$44,837,000	\$180,332,000

Department / Division / Project Title	2015	2017	2019	2021	2023	Totals
DMD/Storm Drainage						
NPDES Stormwater Quality MS4 Permit Compliance	\$2,000,000	\$2,200,000	\$2,500,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,500,000	\$13,200,000
Martineztown Storm Drain Rehabilitation	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$5,000,000
Storm Drain and Pump Station Rehab	\$1,500,000	\$1,900,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,600,000	\$3,100,000	\$11,100,000
Emergency Action Plans and Rehabilitation for City Dams	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$2,000,000
Advanced Planning and Engineering	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$350,000	\$1,150,000
Storm System Water Quality Facilities and Low Impact Retrofit for Municipal Facilities	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,500,000	\$5,500,000
Mid-Valley Storm Drainage Improvements	\$1,800,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$5,800,000
South Broadway Master Plan Drainage Improvements	\$1,000,000	\$1,300,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000		\$4,300,000
Loma Hermosa NW Flooding Relief	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$5,000,000
Arroyo Flash Flood Indication System	\$300,000	\$250,000				\$550,000
Phoenix NE Storm Drain	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000				\$2,000,000
Kirtland Airforce Base South Detention Basin	\$50,000	\$700,000				\$750,000
Gibson-San Mateo Flood Plain Mitigation	\$50,000				\$3,000,000	\$3,050,000
Hahn Arroyo Structural Rehab and Water Quality Features	\$1,000,000					\$1,000,000
McKnight and Mary Ellen Storm Drains	\$400,000					\$400,000
Townsend SW	\$900,000					\$900,000
Montgomery NE Storm Drain	\$700,000					\$700,000
Bear Canyon Arroyo Channel Stabilization		\$800,000				\$800,000
Dallas NE Storm Drain		\$550,000	\$2,500,000	\$1,400,000		\$4,450,000
Southern Blvd SE Storm Drain		\$1,300,000				\$1,300,000
La Charles/Georgene SD System (a.k. a. Phoenix SD)			\$2,000,000			\$2,000,000
Morris NE Storm Drain System				\$2,000,000		\$2,000,000
Chelwood Park NE Storm Drain					\$3,500,000	\$3,500,000
Totals	\$14,300,000	\$14,600,000	\$14.600,000	\$14.600.000	\$18.350,000	\$76.450.000

Department / Division / Project Title	2015	2017	2019	2021	2023	<u>Totals</u>
Parks & Recreation						
Park Renovation	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$10,000,000
Recreation Facility Renovations	\$900,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$4,900,000
Swimming Pool Renovation	\$750,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$4,750,000
Open Space Land Acquisition and Facility Improvements	\$200,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$8,200,000
118th St. Regional Sports Complex	\$3,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$7,000,000
Balloon Fiesta Park Improvements	\$450,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$4,450,000
New Park Development and Land Acquisition	\$850,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$4,850,000
North Domingo Baca Swimming Pool	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$6,000,000
Vehicle and Equipment	\$800,000					\$800,000
Los Altos Park and Pool Renovation		\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$6,000,000
Park Development at the New Westgate Center Site		\$1,000,000	\$500,000			\$1,500,000
Totals	\$9,950,000	\$12,000,000	\$11,500,000	\$11.000.000	\$14,000,000	\$58,450,000

Department / Division / Project Title	2015	2017	2019	2021	2023	Totals
Public Safety						
Fire						
Apparatus Replacement	\$2,500,000	\$3,500,000	\$3,500,000	\$3,500,000	\$3,500,000	\$16,500,000
Facility Repair, Renovation, and Rehabilitation	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$5,000,000
Construction of Fire Station 9	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000				\$4,000,000
Totals	\$5,500,000	\$6,500,000	\$4,500,000	\$4,500,000	\$4,500,000	\$25,500,000

Department / Division / Project Title	2015	2017	2019	2021	2023	Totals
Public Safety						
Police						
Marked Police Vehicles	\$4,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$16,000,000
Renovation and Repair of APD Facilities	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$3,500,000
Southeast Area Command	\$400,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000			\$6,400,000
APD Radio Communication Upgrade		\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$12,000,000
Totals	\$5,400,000	\$10,000,000	\$9.500,000	\$6,500,000	\$6,500,000	\$37.900.000

Department / Division / Project Title	2015	2017	2019	2021	2023	<u>Totals</u>
ABQ Ride/Transit						
Revenue and Support Vehicle Replacement / Expansion	\$3,790,500	\$4,080,000	\$4,080,000	\$4,080,000	\$4,800,000	\$20,830,500
Transit Facility Rehabilitation	\$125,000	\$300,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$300,000	\$1,025,000
Bus Stop Improvements	\$47,000	\$120,000	\$120,000	\$120,000	\$600,000	\$1,007,000
Transit Security Upgrades and Improvements	\$62,500	\$150,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$1,112,500
Transit Technology	\$375,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$240,000	\$1,065,000
Totals	\$4.400,000	\$4,800,000	\$4,800,000	\$4,800,000	\$6,240,000	\$25,040,000

Department / Division / Project Title Community Facilities	2015	2017	<u>2019</u>	2021	2023	Totals
Animal Welfare Animal Shelter Rehabilitation and Equipment	\$1,000,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$1,000,000	\$3,500,000
Totals	\$1,000,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$1,000,000	\$3,500,000

Department / Division / Project Title	2015	2017	2019	2021	2023	<u>Totals</u>
Community Facilities						
Cultural Services - Albuq. Biologic	al Park					
Renovation & Repair (BioPark)	\$3,500,000	\$4,500,000	\$4,500,000	\$4,500,000	\$4,500,000	\$21,500,000
Africa Phase II		\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$2,000,000
Cats/Carnivores		\$1,000,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$2,500,000
Crocodiles		\$3,000,000				\$3,000,000
Otters		\$750,000				\$750,000
Pheasantry Remodel			\$2,000,000			\$2,000,000
Sub-Totals	\$3,500,000	\$9,750,000	\$7,500,000	\$5,500,000	\$5,500,000	\$31,750,000
Cultural Services - Balloon Museu	m					
Balloon Museum Exhibit Development	\$200,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$1,200,000
Sub-Totals	\$200,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$1,200,000
Cultural Services - Community Eve	ents					
KiMo Theatre Renovation		\$250,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$700,000
South Broadway Cultural Center Renovation		\$250,000				\$250,000
Sub-Totals		\$500,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$950,000
Cultural Services - Library						
Library Materials	\$3,000,000	\$3,500,000	\$4,000,000	\$4,500,000	\$5,000,000	\$20,000,000
Library Automation	\$800,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$4,800,000
Library Building Renovations	\$800,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$8,800,000
Ernie Pyle Library Renovation		\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$800,000
International District Library		\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$1,000,000		\$5,000,000
Northwest District Library					\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000
Sub-Totals	\$4,600,000	\$8,700,000	\$9,200,000	\$8,700,000	\$10,200,000	\$41,400,000
Cultural Services - Museum						
Casa San Ysidro	\$200,000	\$500,000	\$1,250,000	\$1,250,000		\$3,200,000
Albuquerque Museum Collection Development		\$500,000	\$500,000	\$1,000,000	\$750,000	\$2,750,000
Albuquerque Museum, Phase 3		\$2,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,600,000	\$1,000,000	\$5,600,000
History Exhibit Renovation		\$100,000				\$100,000
Repairs and Renovations (Albuquerque Museum)		\$250,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$850,000
Sub-Totals	\$200,000	\$3,350,000	\$2,950,000	\$4,050,000	\$1,950,000	\$12,500,000
Totals	\$8,500,000	\$22,550,000	\$20,050,000	\$18,650,000	\$18,050,000	\$87,800,000

Department / Division / Project Title	2015	2017	2019	2021	2023	<u>Totals</u>
Community Facilities						
DMD - CIP Division						
Replacement Vehicles (DMD)	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$500,000
CIP Parks Construction Heavy Equipment	\$300,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$2,300,000
Sub-Totals	\$400,000	\$600,000	\$600,000	\$600,000	\$600,000	\$2,800,000
DMD - Facilities, Energy, Security	& Parking					
City Building Improvement and Rehabilitation	\$850,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$8,850,000
Roof Repairs for City Facilities	\$100,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$2,100,000
Parking Facilities Rehabilitation and Upgrade	\$100,000	\$1,500,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$2,200,000
Security Improvements and Rehabilitation	\$50,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$850,000
Sub-Totals	\$1,100,000	\$4,200,000	\$2,900,000	\$2,900,000	\$2,900,000	\$14,000,000
Totals	\$1,500,000	\$4,800,000	\$3,500,000	\$3,500,000	\$3,500,000	\$16,800,000

Department / Division / Project Title	2015	2017	2019	2021	2023	Totals
Community Facilities						
Environmental Health						
Health and Safety Equipment, Vehicles and Facilities	\$600,000	\$840,000	\$840,000	\$860,000	\$860,000	\$4,000,000
Los Angeles Landfill Remediation	\$250,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000	\$5,050,000
EPA Ozone Mandate	\$100,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$2,100,000
Environmental Health Satellite Facility Rehabilitation	\$250,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$650,000
Totals	\$1.200,000	\$2,640.000	\$2,640.000	\$2,660,000	\$2,660,000	\$11,800,000

Department / Division / Project Title	2015	2017	2019	2021	2023	<u>Totals</u>	
Community Facilities							
Family & Community Services							
Renovation, Repair, Security and Technology Improvements: Existing FCSD Facilities	\$2,300,000	\$1,500,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,500,000	\$1,000,000	\$7,300,000	
Pat Hurley Community Center	\$1,400,000					\$1,400,000	
Dennis Chavez Community Center	\$1,400,000	\$1,000,000				\$2,400,000	
Westgate Community Center	\$1,250,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,500,000			\$3,750,000	
Vehicle and Equipment Replacement: FCS	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$150,000	\$150,000		\$700,000	
Affordable Housing	\$2,400,000	\$2,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$4,000,000		\$11,400,000	
Jack Candelaria Community Center		\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000			\$2,000,000	
Loma Linda Community Center		\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000			\$2,000,000	
Snow Park Community Center		\$500,000	\$500,000			\$1,000,000	
Totals	\$8.950,000	\$8,200,000	\$8,150,000	\$5,650,000	\$1,000,000	\$31,950,000	

Department / Division / Project Title	2015	2017	2019	2021	2023	<u>Totals</u>	
Community Facilities							
Finance & Administrative Services							
IT Infrastructure Upgrade	\$1,500,000	\$1,750,000	\$1,750,000	\$1,750,000	\$1,750,000	\$8,500,000	
Network Equipment Upgrade	\$750,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$4,750,000	
Cyber Security	\$250,000	\$500,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$1,500,000	
Business Application Technology	\$1,500,000	\$1,550,000	\$1,550,000	\$1,550,000	\$1,550,000	\$7,700,000	
3% for Energy Conservation Program	\$3,450,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,600,000	\$3,600,000	\$3,600,000	\$17,250,000	
Totals	\$7,450,000	\$7,800,000	\$8,150,000	\$8,150,000	\$8,150,000	\$39,700,000	

Department / Division / Project Title	2015	2017	2019	2021	2023	Totals
Community Facilities						
Planning - Administration						
Electronic Plan Review (ePlan)	\$100,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$300,000
Albuquerque Geographic Information System (AGIS)	\$250,000	\$225,000	\$275,000	\$325,000	\$325,000	\$1,400,000
Planning Hardware and Software Upgrades	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$125,000	\$150,000	\$100,000	\$475,000
Plaza del Sol Rehabilitation	\$70,000	\$100,000	\$65,000			\$235,000
Planning Department Vehicles	\$80,000	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$380,000
Comprehensive Community Planning/Revitalization	\$400,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$2,400,000
Sub-Totals	\$950,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,090,000	\$1,100,000	\$1,050,000	\$5,190,000
Planning - MRA						
Rail Yards	\$1,500,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$700,000	\$700,000	\$4,900,000
Innovation District/Downtown Improvements	\$250,000	\$700,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$2,450,000
Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Development Fund	\$400,000	\$600,000	\$710,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,850,000	\$4,560,000
East Gateway Metropolitan Redevelopment Area	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$500,000
West Central Metropolitan Redevelopment Area	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$200,000	\$600,000
Sub-Totals	\$2,350,000	\$2,500,000	\$2,410,000	\$2,400,000	\$3,350,000	\$13,010,000
Totals	\$3,300,000	\$3,500,000	\$3,500,000	\$3,500,000	\$4,400,000	\$18,200,000

Department / Division / Project Title	2015	2017	2019	2021	2023	<u>Totals</u>
Community Facilities						
Senior Affairs						
Senior Affairs Facility Renovation / Rehabilitation	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$13,000,000
North Domingo Baca Multigenerational Center Gymnasium		\$2,500,000				\$2,500,000
Totals	\$1,000,000	\$3,500,000	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$15,500,000

		-					
Funding Allocation Category Department/Division	Complete Date	Project Cost	FY 16	FY 17	FY 18	FY 19	FY 20
DMD/ Streets Divisions							
Intersection Signalization	On-Going	\$2,300,000		\$8,600	\$17,200	\$17,200	\$17,200
Albuquerque Traffic Management System/Intelligent Traffic Systems	On-Going	\$1,000,000		\$64,095	\$128,190	\$128,190	\$128,190
Median/Interstate Landscaping	On-Going	\$1,500,000			\$3,525	\$7,050	\$7,050
Total DMD Streets Divisions		\$4,800,000		\$72,695	\$148,915	\$152,440	\$152,440
Parks & Recreation Department							
Balloon Fiesta Park Improvements	On-Going	\$450,000		\$50,814	\$101,627	\$101,627	\$101,627
Open Space Land Acquisition, Fencing & Protection	On-Going	\$200,000		\$164,183	\$98,366	\$98,366	\$98,366
New Park Development & Land Acquisition	On-Going	\$850,000		\$16,000	\$71,000	\$71,000	\$71,000
Total Parks & Recreation Department		\$1,500,000		\$230,997	\$270,993	\$270,993	\$270,993
Cultural Services							
Library Automation	On-Going	\$800,000		\$21,000	\$21,000	\$21,000	\$21,000
Total Cultural Services		\$800,000		\$21,000	\$21,000	\$21,000	\$21,000
Department of Finance & Administration							
Business Application Technology	On-Going	\$1,500,000		\$45,000	\$45,000	\$45,000	\$45,000
IT Infrastructure Upgrade	On-Going	\$1,500,000		\$10,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$20,000
Total Department of Finance &		\$3,000,000		\$55,000	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$65,000

Total O&M Impact 2015 Capital Program

\$10,100,000

\$3,000,000

\$379,692

\$55,000

\$500,908

\$60,000

\$504,433

\$60,000

\$509,433

\$65,000

2015 General Obligation Bond Program
Operating & Maintenance Impacts: Explanatory Notes

DMD/STREETS DIVISIONS

Intersection Signalization: Estimate installation of two new signals at an annual maintenance cost of \$8.6K each

Albuquerque Traffic Management System/Intelligent Traffic Systems Addition of FTE/B34s to maintain and calibrate the system at an annual cost of \$39.9K each for a total of \$79.7K/yr.

Median/Interstate Landscape: Approximately 1-2 Acres at \$4,700 per year

Administration

PARKS & RECREATION DEPARTMENT

Balloon Fiesta Park Improvements 1 FTE, HVAC, Water, and Sewer expenses, Custodial Services.

Open Space Land Acquisition, Fencing & Protection: 2 B26 for maintenance of Open Space Facilities; first year @ 6 months only, Fencing.

New Park Development & Land Acquisition: Temporary wages for maintenance of facilities, recurring utilities & one time start up costs in FY16.

CULTURAL SERVICES

Library Automation: Annual maintenance fees.

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE & ADMINISTRATION

Business Application Technology:\$100K annually for software/hardware maintenance & recurring license costs

IT infrastructure: Maintenance on new hardware and software, offset in outer years by reduced cost in electricity and cooling needs.

2015 General Obligation Bond Program: Operating & Maintenance Impacts (Cost Avoidance Savings)

Funding Allocation Category Department/Division	Complete Date	Project Cost	FY 16	FY 17	FY 18	FY 19	FY 20
Parks & Recreation Department							
Swimming Pool Renovation	On-Going	\$750,000		\$5,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000
Park Renovation	On-Going	\$2,000,000		\$15,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000
Total Parks & Recreation Services		\$2,750,000		\$20,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000
Dept. of Finance and Administration							
Network Equipment Upgrade	On-Going	\$750,000		\$32,500	\$65,000	\$65,000	\$65,000
Total Dept. of Finance and Administration		\$750,000		\$32,500	\$65,000	\$65,000	\$65,000
Family & Community Services							
Renovation & Repair: Community Centers	On-Going	\$2,300,000	T Tanks	\$1,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000
Pat Hurley Community Center	Dec 2016	\$1,400,000	100	\$2,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000
Dennis Chavez Community Center	June 2018	\$1,400,000			\$2,000	\$4,000	\$4,000
Westgate Community Center	Dec 2020	\$1,250,000					\$2,000
Total Family & Community Services		\$6,350,000		\$3,000	\$8,000	\$10,000	\$12,000
Total Cost Avoidance 2015 Capital Program		\$9,850,000	\$0	\$55,500	\$113,000	\$115,000	\$117,000

2015 General Obligation Bond Program: Operating & Maintenance Impacts
Cost Avoidance Savings: Explanatory Notes

PARKS & RECREATION DEPARTMENT

Swimming Pool Renovation: \$5K for half of FY14; \$10K annually thereafter for estimated supply and productivity savings Park Renovation: \$30K annually starting in FY15 for estimated supply, productivity savings and water conservation

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE & ADMINISTRATION

Network Equipment Upgrade: Replacing Voice over IP phone system has an ROI of 7 years. The savings are offset by cost for purchase of new hardward and software and maintenance.

FAMILY & COMMUNITY SERVICES

Renovation & Repair: Community Centers: \$2K annual cost avoidance derived from decreased maintenance and increased Energy efficiency

Pat Hurley CC: \$4K annual cost avoidance beginning in FY 17 derived from decreased maintenance and increased energy efficiency

Dennis Chavez CC:\$4K annual cost avoidance beginning in FY18 from decreased maintenance and increased efficiency

Westgate CC: \$4K annual cost avoidance beginning in FY20 from decreased maintenance and Increased efficiency

2015	Gene	ral Obliga	ation Bon	d Prograr	n - Ca	pital	Project	t Requ	<u>ies</u>	t Form ((PRF)
PRF ID 25	Intersec	tion Signalizat	tion		D	epartm Divis	ent DMD/	Streets			
Estimated	Comple	tion Date On-	Going		c	Council E	District(s)	cwc	1		1
Location	City-Wide				N	IM Hous	e District(s) cw	1		1
		Boundaries	Activity Cer	nter 🛛 C	orridor	IM Sena	te District(s) CW	1		
Project Ty	pe	Growth	⊠ Re	ehabilitation 8	0%	×	Deficiency	20%		☐ Mandat	e
vehicles a	nd comput	odify, upgrade and er systems requir ctivity centers.									
infrastruct Program	NFRASTRI ure. New	UCTURE: Existindevelopment is ef	ficiently integrated	d into existing infr	rastructures	and that	the costs are	balanced	with t	he revenues g	
-344. Lev Alternative	rehabilitation erages not es: no imp	native on of infrastructur n-city revenues (N rovements results ntial liability and s	IM State and FHV in decreased sig	VA). Appropriate	traffic contr	ol device	s are manda	ted by City	Ordin	ance Section	8-1-2-5.
		FUNDING	G: CURRENT I	PHASE			F	UNDING	: FU	TURE PHAS	ES
Sources o	f Funds		Estimated	Cost	Rehabili	tation	much rest X s	В	ond (Cycle	
2015 G.O.		2,300,000	Land		1,840	0,000	2017	2019		2021	2023
Secured Fun	Secured Funding		Design	600,000	Deficie	ncy	2,300,000	2,300,	000	2,300,000	2,300,000
			Construction	700,000	460	0,000					
			Equipment Other	1,000,000							
			Other		5 15 A			FUNDIN	NG: ALL PHASES		
Total		\$2,300,000	Total	\$2,300,000	76.77		Estimated	Total Pr	oject	Cost: \$11	,500,000
			OPER	RATING & MAIN	TENANCE	E EXPE	NSE ANAL	YSIS			
Total Full	Time Eq	uivalent Positi	ons:								
Operating	Budget Ir	npact	2016	2017	2018	20	19	2020	E	cplanatory Co	mments
A. Budget Ch	-	d/Salaries nefits (50.61%)									
B. Recurring I	Expense Cha VAC, Water/W		4						signa	nate installation of ils at an annual tenance cost of	
Other (Exp	elain)			8,600	17,200		17,200	17,200	each	•	
C. Non-Recur Move-In/S	ring Expense tart Up/Other	_									
D.		Sub-tota		8,600	17,200		17,200	17,200			
E. Changes in	Operating R	evenue									
F.	NE	T COST IMPAC	Т	8,600	17,200		17,200	17,200			
G. COST AVO Energy Co Productivit Other	nservation Sa	vings									
Н.	TOTAL CO	OST AVOIDANCE									
Dept Ran	k 10 o	f 21	Staff Rating	424 M	Conta	act pers	on for this	PRF		John Kolessa	ar

2015 (<u> General Obliga</u>	ation bon	u i logiai	ii Oapi	lai Fioje	Ct Negt	Jest Form (FRF)		
00	Albuquerque Traffic M Traffic System (ITS)	lanagement S	ystem/Intellige		partment DN Division	D/Streets				
Estimated (Completion Date On-	Going		Cou	ıncil District(s)	cw	1	1		
Location C	ity-Wide			NM	House Distric	t(s) CW		1		
_	1980 Boundaries	Activity Ce	nter 🛛 C	orridor NM	Senate Distric	ct(s) CW	1 1 1	1		
Project Typ	e Growth	⊠ Re	ehabilitation <u>5</u> (0%	☑ Deficienc	v 50%	☐ Mandate	9		
(ITS). Purch	i, construct, replace, expa nase related equipment, so its on corridors and/or in a	ervice vehicles an			jue Traffic Mana	agement Syst				
PUBLIC INF infrastructur Program or	PRASTRUCTURE: Existing e. New development is ef Priority Objective technology infrastructure is	ficiently integrate	d into existing infra	astructures and	d that the costs	are balanced	with the revenues g			
Supports rel -344. Lever Alternatives	n/Alternative habilitation of infrastructur ages non-city revenues (N : no improvement results and potential liability and s	IM State and FHV in decreased sign	VA). Appropriate	traffic control d	levices are mar	dated by City	Ordinance Section	8-1-2-5.		
	FUNDING	G: CURRENT F	PHASE			FUNDING	: FUTURE PHASI	ES		
Sources of F	unds	Estimated	Cost	Rehabilitati	ion	В	ond Cycle			
2015 G.O. B		Land		500,00	00 2017	2019	2021	2023		
Secured Funding	ng	Design	200,000	Deficiency	y 1,000,0	00 1,000,	000 1,000,000	1,000,000		
		Construction	200,000	500,00	00					
		Equipment Other	600,000	14.54						
		Other		100		FUNDIN	NG: ALL PHASES			
Total	\$1,000,000	Total	\$1,000,000		Estimat	ed Total Pr	roject Cost: \$5,000,000			
		OPER	ATING & MAIN	TENANCE E	XPENSE ANA	ALYSIS				
Total Full T	ime Equivalent Positi	ons:								
Operating B	udget Impact	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Explanatory Co	mments		
A. Budget Chang	ge: Wages/Salaries		42,557	85,114	85,114	85,114	2 B34 FTE			
2	Fringe Benefits (50.61%)		64,095	128,190	128,190	128,190				
B. Recurring Exp										
Other (Explain	C, Water/Wastewater)									
Other (Explain										
Other (Explain	n) g Expense Change		64,095	128,190	128,190	128,190				
Other (Explain C. Non-Recurrin Move-In/Star D.	n) g Expense Change t Up/Other (Explain)		64,095	128,190	128,190	128,190				
Other (Explain C. Non-Recurrin Move-In/Star D.	n) g Expense Change t Up/Other (Explain) Sub-total		64,095	128,190	128,190	128,190 128,190				
Other (Explain C. Non-Recurrin Move-in/Star D. E. Changes in O F. G. COST AVOID	g Expense Change t Up/Other (Explain) Sub-total perating Revenue NET COST IMPACTANCE ervation Savings									
Other (Explain C. Non-Recurrin Move-In/Star D. E. Changes in O F. G. COST AVOID: Energy Conse Productivity S Other	g Expense Change t Up/Other (Explain) Sub-total perating Revenue NET COST IMPACTANCE ervation Savings									

2015 Gene	eral Obliga	<u>ation Bon</u>	d Prograr	n - Ca	<u>pital Pro</u>	ject Re	ques	st Form	(PRF)	
PRF ID 34 Median	and Interstate	Landscaping		D	epartment Division	DMD/Street	S			
Estimated Comple	tion Date On-	-Going		С	ouncil Distric	t(s) C	vc	1 1		
Location City-Wide				N	IM House Dis	trict(s)	w	1 1	1	
	Boundaries	Activity Ce	nter 🛛 C		IM Senate Di		w	1 1	1	
	Growth			00%	☐ Deficie			☐ Mandat		
Scope	Glowiii		enabilitation <u>It</u>	0070	Delicit	ilicy		L IVIAI IQAI		
Plan, design, and co subsequent years ur within the City limits.	ntil all City median									
5-Year Goal PUBLIC INFRASTR infrastructure. New										
Program or Priorit This project supports emphasizing enhance	the desired com		that the street sys	tem is well-c	designed and m	naintained an	d the imp	elementation of	facilities	
Justification/Altern This project supports interstate landscapin relocation opportunit	s the rehabilitation ng; supports corre	ction of deficient	medians; helps to							
	FUNDIN	G: CURRENT I	PHASE			FUNDI	NG: FL	TURE PHAS	ES	
Sources of Funds		Estimated	Cost	Rehabilit	tation		Bond	Bond Cycle		
2015 G.O. Bond	1,500,000	Land		1,500	,000 20	17 20)19	2021	2023	
Secured Funding		Design	100,000	Deficier	ncy 2,00	0,000 1,	500,000	1,500,000	2,000,000	
124		Construction	1,400,000	H. THE						
		Equipment								
		Other			200	FUN	DING:	NG: ALL PHASES		
Total	\$1,500,000	Total	\$1,500,000		Estin	nated Total	Projec			
			RATING & MAIN							
Total Full Time Eq	uivalent Positi	ons:							4.54	
Operating Budget In		2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		Explanatory Co	mments	
		20.0	2011	2010	20.0	2020		explainatory of	AIIIIIOII CO	
A. Budget Change: Wages Fringe Be	MSalaries Inefits (50.61%)									
B. Recurring Expense Cha Utilities (HVAC, Water/W								roximately 1 to 1. '00 acre/year.	5 acres at	
Other (Explain)				3,525	7,050	7,0	50			
C. Non-Recurring Expense Move-In/Start Up/Other										
D.	Sub-tota			3,525	7,050	7,0	50			
E. Changes in Operating R	evenue									
F. NE	ET COST IMPAC	Т		3,525	7,050	7,0	50			
G. COST AVOIDANCE Energy Conservation Sa Productivity Savings Other	vings			· · · · · · ·						
H. TOTAL CO	OST AVOIDANCE				tune Ve					
Dept Rank 19 o		Staff Rating	401 M	Conta	ct person fo	r this PRF		Barbara L. Tay	lor	
					m					

2015	General	Obliga	ation Bone	d Progran	n - Ca	pital	Projec	t Requ	ıes	t Form	(PRF)
PRF ID 91	Balloon Fies	ta Park In	nprovements			Departn Divis		s & Recrea	ation		
Estimated	Completion I	Date 12/2	2017			Council	District(s)	4	1		
Location	Alameda/Balloo	n Fiesta Pa	rkwav		1	NM Hou	se District((s) 15	1	1 1	1
	☐ 1980 Bour		☐ Activity Cer	nter 🔲 C	orridor 1	NM Senate District(s) 13					1
Project Ty	pe 🛛 Grov	wth <u>50%</u>	⊠ R€	habilitation <u>50</u>	0%		Deficiency			☐ Mandat	e
		uip and oth	erwise improve Ba	alloon Fiesta Park	ζ.						
variety of I	ABLE COMMUN ivable, sustainal	ole commun	OPMENT: Guide		t the enviro	nment a	nd the comn	nunity's ecor	nomic	vitality and cr	eate a
			et the new vision (of Balloon Fiesta I	Park to inc	rease ye	ar round use	and promo	te rec	reational and o	cultural
This projects at		economic Park are su	opportunities with pplemented by fur								
		FUNDING	G: CURRENT F	PHASE				FUNDING	: FU	TURE PHAS	ES
Sources of	Funds		Estimated	Cost	Rehabil	bilitation Bond					
2015 G.O.		450,000	Land		and the same of the same	5,000	2017	2019		2021	2023
Secured Fun 2013 GO	aing	1,500,000	Design	300,000	Deficie	ency	1,000,00	0 1,000,	,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,00		
2011 GO		1,830,000	Construction Equipment	3,400,000							
			Other	80,000							
										ALL PHASES	
Total	\$:	3,780,000	Total	\$3,780,000	TENANO	r rvor		d Total Pr	oject	t Cost: \$7	,780,000
				ATING & MAIN	HENANC	E EXPE	NSE ANAI	LYSIS			
Total Full	Time Equival	ent Positi	ons:					-			
Operating	Budget Impact		2016	2017	2018	20	019	2020		xplanatory Co	
A. Budget Cha	ange: Wages/Salarie			21,455	42,910	_	42,910	42,910	1 FT	E for Maintenan	ce.
	Fringe Benefits (5	00.61%)		32,313	64,627	7	64,627	64,627			
	Expense Change /AC, Water/Wastewat	er)		17,500	35,000	,	35,000	35,000	Othe	er is for custodial	services.
Other (Exp				1,000	2,000	,	2,000	2,000			
	ring Expense Chang tart Up/Other (Explain				N.						
D.		Sub-tota		50,813	101,627	7	101,627	101,627			
E. Changes in	Operating Revenue										
F.	NET CC	ST IMPAC	Т	50,813	101,627	7	101,627	101,627			
G. COST AVO Energy Co Productivity Other	nservation Savings										
Н.	TOTAL COST A	VOIDANCE									
Dont Pan	k <u>6</u> of <u>9</u>		Staff Rating	374	Cont	act ner	son for thi	e PRF	Chris	stina Sandoval	8-5370

2015 Gener	al Obliga	ation Bond	d Program	<u>m - Ca</u>	pital	Projec	t Requ	ıes	t Form	(PRF)	
PRF ID Open Spa Improven		quisition and F	acility	I	Departn Divis	nent Parks	& Recrea	ation			
Estimated Completic	on Date 12/2	2018		(Council	District(s)	cw		1 1	1	
Location Various					NM Hou	se District(s	cw	1	1 1	1	
☐ 1980 B	oundaries	☐ Activity Cer	nter 🔲 C	Corridor 1	NM Sen	ate District(s) CW	1	1 1	1	
Project Type 🛛 🔾	Growth 70%	⊠ Re	habilitation 3	30%		Deficiency			☐ Mandat	e	
Scope											
Purchase, fence,and p	protect propertie	es for the Open Sp	ace program. P	Plan, design,	, constru	ct Open Spac	e Propertie	es for I	renovation pur	poses.	
5-Year Goal ENVIRONMENTAL PR bosque, volcanoes, an			NT: Protect and	enhance Al	lbuquerq	ue's natural e	environmen	ts — i	ts mountains,	river,	
Program or Priority	Objective										
		BRUE									
Justification/Alterna Provides new open specifacilities within the 198 shared by multiple age	ace facilities as 0 City boundari	es and is consiste	nt with the Envir								
	FLINDING	G: CURRENT F	PHASE			F	FUNDING	· FII	TURE PHAS	ES	
Sources of Funds	TONDIN	Estimated	كالمستحدث المستحدث المستحدث المستحدث المستحدد المستحدد المستحد المستحدد الم	Rehabil	bilitation Bond Cycle						
2015 G.O. Bond	200,000	Land	940,000		0,000	2017	2019		2021	2023	
Secured Funding		Design	50,000	Deficie	ency	2,000,000	2,000,	000	2,000,000	2,000,000	
2013 GO Bond 2011 GO Bond	750,000 40,000	Construction									
		Equipment									
		Other				20 10 10	FUNDIN	IG: A	G: ALL PHASES		
Total	\$990,000	Total	\$990,000			Estimated	l Total Pr	oject	Cost: \$8	,990,000	
		OPER	ATING & MAIN	NTENANC	E EXPE	NSE ANAL	YSIS				
Total Full Time Equ	ivalent Positi	ons:									
Operating Budget Imp	pact	2016	2017	2018	2	019	2020	E	cplanatory Co	omments	
A. Budget Change: Wages/S	alaries	1 5 13 1	32,656	65,312	2	65,312	65,312	2 B-2	6 positions		
Fringe Bene	fits (50.61%)		49,183	98,366	3	98,366	98,366				
B. Recurring Expense Chang											
Utilities (HVAC, Water/Wasi Other (Explain)	tewater)			•							
C. Non-Recurring Expense C	hanna							Fono	ing and signage		
Move-In/Start Up/Other (Ex			115,000					rend		•	
D.	Sub-tota		164,183	98,366	3	98,366	98,366				
E. Changes in Operating Rev	enue										
F. NET	COST IMPAC		164,183	98,366	3	98,366	98,366				
G. COST AVOIDANCE Energy Conservation Savin Productivity Savings	gs		- 1								
Other											
H. TOTAL COS	ST AVOIDANCE			10 m. 8							
Dept Rank 4 of	9	Staff Rating	363 L	Cont	act per	son for this	PRF	Chris	tina Sandoval	8-5370	

2015 G	eneral Obliga	ation Bon	d Prograi	m - Ca	oital Proje	ect Req	uest Form	(PRF)
PRF ID 92 No	ew Park Developme	ent and Land A	Acquisition	D	epartment Pa	ırks & Recre	ation	
Estimated Co	ompletion Date 12/	2018		c	ouncil District(s) CWC		HI ST
Location Va	rious			N	M House Distric	ct(s) CW	1 1 1	1
	1980 Boundaries	Activity Ce	nter 🛛 C		M Senate Distri		1 1 1	
Project Type	☑ Growth 50%		ehabilitation		□ Deficience □ Deficience		☐ Mand	ate
Scope								
To purchase l	land for new parks. To p	olan, design, cons	truct and otherwis	se improve u	ndeveloped land	for park purpo	oses.	
	FAMILY DEVELOPMENT and educated.	NT: All residents	have the opportu	nity to partici	pate in the comm	unity and eco	nomy, and are wel	sheltered,
Program or F	Priority Objective							
development.	reational opportunities for Supports the correction previously provided.	or young people c of a lack of parks	onsistent with the to serve the com	e City's 5 yea nmunity. Initi	r goals. Supports iates a new park c	neighborhoo or recreation f	d-based economic acility in order to de	eliver
	FUNDING	G: CURRENT I	PHASE			FUNDING	: FUTURE PHA	SES
Sources of Fu	ınds	Estimated	Cost	Rehabilit	ation	E	Bond Cycle	
2015 G.O. Bo		Land	175,000		2017	2019	2021	2023
Secured Funding 2013 GO	300,000	Design	175,000	Deficier	ncy 1,000,0	000 1,000	,000,000	1,000,000
2011 GO	520,000	Construction	1,390,000	425	,000			
2009 GO	70,000	Equipment Other		100				
							IG: ALL PHASE	
Total	\$1,740,000	Total	\$1,740,000 RATING & MAIN	ITENANCE		ted Total Pr	oject Cost: \$	5,740,000
Total Full Tir	me Equivalent Positi		RATING & WAIN	NIENANCE	EXPENSE AN	ALYSIS		
			2047	2040	2040	0000		
Operating Bu		2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Explanatory (comments
A. Budget Change	: Wages/Salaries Fringe Benefits (50.61%)							
B. Recurring Expe	nse Change						Other is for temp wa	ages.
	Water/Wastewater)		16,000	32,000	32,000	32,000		
Other (Explain)	T Ob			39,000	39,000	39,000		
C. Non-Recurring I Move-In/Start U	Expense Change ip/Other (Explain)							
D.	Sub-total		16,000	71,000	71,000	71,000		
E. Changes in Ope	erating Revenue							
F.	NET COST IMPACT 16,000				71,000	71,000		
G. COST AVOIDAN Energy Conserv Productivity Sav Other	ration Savings							
н. тот	TAL COST AVOIDANCE				12.24	Berline H		
Dept Rank _	7 of 9	Staff Rating	363 L	Conta	ct person for the	nis PRF	Christina Sandova	al 8-5370
-					,			

2015 Ger	neral Obliga	ation Bon	d Prograi	m - Car	oital Proje	ect Requ	uest Form	(PRF)
PRF ID 107 Librar	y Automation			D	epartment Co			
Estimated Comp	oletion Date On-	going		С	ouncil District(s) CW		1
Location City-Wi					M House Distri			1
	80 Boundaries	☐ Activity Cer	nter 🛛 C		M Senate Distr		1 1 1	
	13.					(5)		
Scope	☑ Growth <u>5%</u>	⊠ R€	ehabilitation <u>6</u>	<u>60%</u>	□ Deficience □ Deficience	cy <u>35%</u>	☐ Manda	te
Purchase and inst	tall updated automat ain network services							
5-Year Goal HUMAN AND FAI safe, healthy, and	MILY DEVELOPMENT educated.	NT: All residents	have the opportu	nity to partici	pate in the comm	nunity and eco	nomy, and are well	sheltered,
Program or Prio This project suppo	rity Objective orts the desired com	munity condition t	hat residents are	literate and	educated.			
costs as higher lite working hardware Technology Plan	ernative orts both rehabilitati eracy levels are asso ; maintenance of ext recommendations. It cles, vendors can no	ociated with lower sting library servi Library IT enables	crime and highe ces to meet custo library operation	er employmer omer demand as to handle in	it. Funding will a is; and continued acreased custom	llow the replace implementation in the second contraction in the second contract in the sec	ement of obsolete a on of the FY07 Libra	and non- ary
	FUNDING	G: CURRENT F	PHASE			FUNDING	: FUTURE PHAS	SES
Sources of Funds		Estimated		Rehabilit	ation		ond Cycle	
2015 G.O. Bond	800,000	Land			,000 2017	2019	2021	2023
Secured Funding		Design		Deficier	ncy 1,000,	000 1,000	,000,000	1,000,000
		Construction	800,000	280	,000			
		Equipment						
		Other			40.00	FUNDIN	IG: ALL PHASE	S
Total	\$800,000	Total	\$800,000		Estima	ted Total Pr		,800,000
Total	\$800,000		ATING & MAIN				oject ocst.	,000,000
Total Full Time	Equivalent Positi		7 () () () () () () () () () (11211/1102	270 270270	7.27010		
Operating Budge	t Impact	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Explanatory C	omments
A. Budget Change: Wa Fringe	ges/Salaries Benefits (50.61%)							
B. Recurring Expense C							Annual maintenance	fees.
Utilities (HVAC, Wate Other (Explain)	r/Wastewater)		21,000	21,000	21,000	21,000		
C. Non-Recurring Expe	nse Change		21,000	21,000	21,000	21,000		
Move-in/Start Up/Oth	ner (Explain) Sub-tota	1 1 2 2	21,000	21,000	21,000	21,000		
E. Changes in Operatin					-,,			
			21,000	21,000	21,000	21,000		
G. COST AVOIDANCE	NET COST IMPAC		21,000	21,000	21,000	21,000		
Energy Conservation	Savings							
Productivity Savings								
Other								
H. TOTAL	COST AVOIDANCE					1		
Dept Rank 2	of 3	Staff Rating	406 M	Conta	ct person for t	his PRF	Dean P. Smith 76	8-5195

2015	Gene	ral Obliga	ation Bond	d Progran	n - Car	oital Proje	ect Req	<u>uest F</u>	orm ((PRF)
PRF ID 135	Busines	s Application	Technology		D	epartment Co			e Service	es
Estimated	d Complet	ion Date			c	ouncil District(s	s) CW	1		
Location	One Civic	Plaza			N	M House Distri	ct(s) CW	1 1	1	
		Boundaries	☐ Activity Cer	nter 🔲 C	orridor N	M Senate Distr	ict(s) CW	1 1	1	1
Project Ty	уре 🗆	Growth	⊠ Re	habilitation <u>5</u>	0%	□ Deficien	cv 50%		Mandat	е
	sign, develop	, test, obtain, and	d implement softw nctionality of busin			, hardware, prof	essional servi		ain (with t	ravel) staff
governme	or Priority	es effectively to r Objective	D EFFECTIVENE	eds.	nt is ethical, t	ransparent, and	responsive to	its citizens	s. Every el	ement of
Increase t	the number	of constituent fac	cing on-line applica	ations.						
	tion/Altern ve: Will delay		f productivity gain	s especially for c	titizens using	City services.				
		FUNDING	G: CURRENT F	PHASE			FUNDING	S: FUTUR	RE PHAS	ES
Sources o	of Funds		Estimated	Cost	Rehabilit	ation		Bond Cyc	le	
2015 G.O.		1,500,000	Land		750,	000 2017	2019		2021	2023
Secured Fur	nding		Design	Total Inc.	Deficier	cy 1,550,	000 1,550	,000 1	,550,000	1,550,000
			Construction		750	,000				
			Equipment							
			Other	1,500,000			FUNDI	NG: ALL	PHASES	3
Total		\$1,500,000		\$1,500,000			ted Total P	roject Co	st: \$7	,700,000
			OPER	ATING & MAIN	TENANCE	EXPENSE AN	IALYSIS			
Total Ful	Il Time Equ	uivalent Positi	ons:	1						
Operating	g Budget Im	pact	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Expla	natory Co	omments
A. Budget Ch	hange: Wages/ Fringe Ben	Salaries efits (50.61%)		1 1 1						
	Expense Chan							Software software.	maintenand	e on new
Other (Exp	plain)			45,000	45,000	45,000	45,000			
	orring Expense Start Up/Other (E	-								
D.		Sub-total		45,000	45,000	45,000	45,000			
	in Operating Re			45.000	45 000	45.000	45.000			
F.	•	T COST IMPAC		45,000	45,000	45,000	45,000	-		
	OIDANCE onservation Sav ity Savings	ings		35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000	Estimate labor red	d savings the	rough benefits.
Other				1 .		1		1		
Other H.	TOTAL CO	ST AVOIDANCE		35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000	1		

2015 Ge	eneral Obliga	ation Bone	<u>d Prograr</u>	<u>n - Cap</u>	<u> ital Proje</u>	<u>ct Requ</u>	<u> Jest Forn</u>	<u>n (PRF) </u>
PRF ID 05 IT is	nfrastructure Upgr	ade		De	epartment Cor Division Fina			vices
Estimated Cor	npletion Date			Co	ouncil District(s)	cw		1
Location One	Civic Plaza				M House District		1	1
	1980 Boundaries	☐ Activity Cer	nter 🗆 C		M Senate Distric			
Project Type						-	☐ Man	data
Scope	Growth	LAI KE	nabilitation <u>5</u>	0%_	☑ Deficiency	/ 50%	Uvian	date
Plan, design, pu Disaster Recov	urchase, renovate, upg ery, Virtual Desktop, at and support of associa	nd other infrastruc	cture components					
	TAL EXCELLENCE AN			nt is ethical, tr	ansparent, and re	sponsive to it	ts citizens. Ever	y element of
	riority Objective racle 11g environment	to 12c. Refresh s	erver and storage	e components	s that are obsolete).		
Justification/A Alternative: Will	Alternative I delay the realization o	of productivity gain	is.					
	EUNDIN	G: CURRENT F	DHASE			FUNDING	: FUTURE PH	IASES
Sources of Fun	فاستنج واستنجاف المناسب	Estimated		Rehabilita	ation		ond Cycle	IAGEG
2015 G.O. Bond			000.	750,		2019		2023
Secured Funding	1,000,000	Design		Deficien				
1 1 1 2		Construction		750,				
		Equipment						
		Other	1,500,000			FUNDIN	IG: ALL PHA	SES
Total	04 500 000	Total	44 500 000	Wit La	Estimat			3 al la 10
Total	\$1,500,000		\$1,500,000		EXPENSE ANA		oject Cost:	\$8,500,000
			ATING & WAIN	ILLIVATION	EAFEIVOL AIV	VE 1 212	ns Anning in	
Total Full Tim	e Equivalent Positi							
Operating Bud	get Impact	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Explanator	y Comments
A. Budget Change: 1	Wages/Salaries nge Benefits (50.61%)							
8. Recurring Expens Utilities (HVAC, W							As new hardward is purchased ma required.	
Other (Explain)			10,000	15,000	20,000	25,000		
C. Non-Recurring Ex Move-In/Start Up/	•		71-1-1					
D.	Sub-tota	1	10,000	15,000	20,000	25,000		
E. Changes in Opera			45.000	47.000	22.200	25.000		
F.	NET COST IMPAC	T	10,000	15,000	20,000	25,000		
G. COST AVOIDANC Energy Conservat Productivity Savin Other	tion Savings				5,000	5,000	As new equipme purchased the p cooling needs a	ent is ower and re reduced.
	AL COST AVOIDANCE	E			5,000	5,000		
Dept Rank1	1 -E E	Staff Rating	400 M	0	ct person for th	i- DDE	Peter A	mha

<u>2015 (</u>	Seneral Obliga	ation Bond	d Progran	<u>n - Ca</u>	oital Proje	ect Req	uest Form	(PRF)
PRF ID 88 S	Swimming Pool Reno	vation		D	epartment P	arks & Recre	ation	
Estimated C	Completion Date 6/20)18		С	ouncil District(s) CWC	1 1	
Location V	arious	17			M House Distr		1 1 1	
	1980 Boundaries	Activity Cer	nter 🛛 C		M Senate Dist		1 1 1	1
Project Type			habilitation 10		☐ Deficien		☐ Manda	ite
Scope								
Plan design,	construct, equip and other	rwise improve the	e 15 aquatic facili	ities run by P	arks and Recrea	ation.		
	BLE COMMUNITY DEVEL able, sustainable commun			at the environ	ment and the co	mmunity's eco	nomic vitality and c	reate a
Hold 5 "Spla	Priority Objective sh and Dash" –Triathlon t This event has been selec							
the correction	oital facility with energy eff in of deficient and antiqual e and operation costs.							
	FUNDING	G: CURRENT P	'HASE			FUNDING	5: FUTURE PHAS	SES
Sources of F	unds	Estimated	Cost	Rehabilit	ation	Bond Cycle		
2015 G.O. Be		Land		750	,000 201	7 2019	2021	2023
Secured Fundir 2013 GO	750,000	Design	160,000	Deficier	ncy 1,000	,000 1,000	,000,000	1,000,000
7.7		Construction	1,340,000					
		Equipment Other		-				
							NG: ALL PHASE	
Total	\$1,500,000	Total	\$1,500,000 ATING & MAIN	ITEMANICE		ated Total Pi	roject Cost: \$	5,500,000
Total Full T	ima Equivalent Besiti		ATING & MAIN	HENANCE	EXPENSE AN	VAL 1313		
0-5-300	ime Equivalent Positi		2047	2040	2040	0000	Suntanata - 6	
	udget impact	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Explanatory C	omments
A. Budget Chang	ge: Wages/Salaries Fringe Benefits (50.61%)							
B. Recurring Exp								
Utilities (HVAC	C, Water/Wastewater)							
	g Expense Change Up/Other (Explain)							
D.	Sub-total				37 19			
E. Changes in O	perating Revenue							
F.	NET COST IMPACT						1	
G. COST AVOIDA							Supply and product	ivitv
Productivity S	ervation Savings avings		5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	savings.	,
Other							1	
н. тс	TAL COST AVOIDANCE		5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000		
Dept Rank	3 of 9	Staff Rating	405 M	Conta	ct person for	this PRF	Christina Sandova	ıl 8-5370

2015 G	eneral Obliga	ation Bon	d Prograi	m - Cap	<u>oital Proje</u>	ect Requ	est Form	(PRF)
PRF ID 86 Pa	rk Renovation			D	epartment Pa	arks & Recrea	tion	
Estimated Co	mpletion Date 12/2	2018		С	ouncil District(s	s) cwc	are been de-	
Location Vari	ious			N	M House Distri	ct(s) CW	1 - 1	1
	1980 Boundaries	Activity Cer	nter 🛛 C	Corridor N	M Senate Distr	rict(s) CW	1 1	1
Project Type	☐ Growth	⊠ Re	habilitation 1	00%	☐ Deficien	cy	☐ Manda	te
Scope Plan, design, o	construct, renovate and	otherwise improve	e Parks.					
5-Year Goal HUMAN AND safe, healthy, a	FAMILY DEVELOPMENT and educated.	NT: All residents	have the opportu	nity to partici	pate in the comm	nunity and econ	omy, and are well s	sheitered,
Implement the	riority Objective YARDI work order systo Mayor and City Counc			ntenance prog	gram for the Park	s and Recreation	on Department. Sเ	ıbmit a
	r use and waste at city portion of a park within the 1980							
	FUNDING	G: CURRENT F	PHASE			FUNDING:	FUTURE PHAS	SES
Sources of Fu	nds	Estimated	Cost	Rehabilit	ation		ond Cycle	
2015 G.O. Bon	d 2,000,000	Land		2,000,	000 2017	2019	2021	2023
Secured Funding 2013 GO	1,500,000	Design	350,000	Deficier	1cy 2,000,	000 2,000,0	2,000,000	2,000,000
2010 00	1,000,000	Construction	3,150,000	No.				
		Equipment Other			200	3. 3. 3		
		Other				FUNDING	G: ALL PHASE	S
Total	\$3,500,000		\$3,500,000				ject Cost: \$1	,500,000
			ATING & MAIN	NTENANCE	EXPENSE AN	IALYSIS		
	ne Equivalent Positi							
Operating Bud	iget impact	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Explanatory C	omments
A. Budget Change:	Wages/Salaries ringe Benefits (50.61%)							
B. Recurring Expen Utilities (HVAC, V	nse Change Nater/Wastewater)							
C. Non-Recurring E	xpense Change							
Move-In/Start Up		1						
E. Changes in Oper	Sub-tota							
F.		T						
G. COST AVOIDAN	NET COST IMPAC							
Energy Conserva			10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000		
Productivity Savi	ings		5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000		
Other TOT	AL COST AVOIDANCE		15,000	30,000	30,000	30,000		
Dept Rank _	1 of 9	Staff Rating	412 M	Conta	ct person for t	this PRF	<u>Christina Sandova</u>	8-5370

				d Progran				· ·	
PRF ID 134	Netwo	rk Equipment U	pgrade			partment Con Division Fina	The state of the state of the	cilities ninistrative Servio	ces
Estimate	d Comp	etion Date			Cou	uncil District(s)	CW		
Location	City-Wic	de			NM	House District	(s) CW		
		0 Boundaries	☐ Activity Cer	nter 🗆 C	orridor NM	Senate Distric	t(s) CW	1 1 1	1
Project T	Гуре [Growth	⊠ Re	habilitation <u>5</u>	0%	□ Deficiency	50%	☐ Manda	ate
		network equipmen				ectivity and servi	ices to City s	ites. May include	
governme	NMENTAL lent contrib	EXCELLENCE AN outes effectively to i			nt is ethical, tra	nsparent, and re	sponsive to i	ts citizens. Every	element of
		ce network switch a	nd router configur	ations that are ol	osolete.				
Justificat Alternativ		rnative lay the realization o	of productivity gain	s.					
		FUNDING	G: CURRENT F	PHASE .			FUNDING	: FUTURE PHA	SES
Sources of	of Funds		Estimated	Cost	Rehabilitat			Sond Cycle	T-Tain V
2015 G.O.). Bond	750,000	Land		375,00	00 2017	2021	0000	
Cocured Fu	ending						2019		2023
Secured Fu	unding		Design		Deficienc	y 1,000,00			
Secured Fu	unding		Design Construction			y 1,000,00			
Secured Fu	unding		Design	750.000	Deficienc	y 1,000,00	00 1,000	,000,000	1,000,000
	unding		Design Construction Equipment Other	750,000	Deficienc 375,00	1,000,00	00 1,000 FUNDIN	,000 1,000,000	1,000,000
Secured Fu	unding	\$750,000	Design Construction Equipment Other Total	\$750,000	Deficience 375,00	1,000,00 00 Estimate	FUNDIN	,000 1,000,000	1,000,000
Total		\$750,000	Design Construction Equipment Other Total OPER		Deficience 375,00	1,000,00 00 Estimate	FUNDIN	,000 1,000,000	1,000,000
Total Total Ful	ill Time E	\$750,000 Equivalent Positi	Design Construction Equipment Other Total OPER ons:	\$750,000 ATING & MAIN	Deficience 375,00	y 1,000,000	FUNDING Total Pr	.000 1,000,000	1,000,000 ES 4,750,000
Total Total Ful Operating	ill Time E	\$750,000 Equivalent Positi Impact	Design Construction Equipment Other Total OPER	\$750,000	Deficience 375,00	1,000,00 00 Estimate	FUNDIN	,000 1,000,000	1,000,000 ES 4,750,000
Total Total Ful	ill Time E g Budget hange: Wag	\$750,000 Equivalent Positi Impact	Design Construction Equipment Other Total OPER ons:	\$750,000 ATING & MAIN	Deficience 375,00	y 1,000,000	FUNDING Total Pr	.000 1,000,000	1,000,000 ES 4,750,000
Total Total Ful Operating	III Time E g Budget thange: Wag Fringe	\$750,000 Equivalent Positi Impact Jes/Salaries Benefits (50.61%)	Design Construction Equipment Other Total OPER ons:	\$750,000 ATING & MAIN	Deficience 375,00	y 1,000,000	FUNDING Total Pr	As new hardware an	1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 Comments
Total Total Ful Operating A. Budget Ch	III Time E g Budget thange: Wag Fringe	\$750,000 Equivalent Positi Impact les/Salaries Benefits (50.61%) hange	Design Construction Equipment Other Total OPER ons:	\$750,000 ATING & MAIN	Deficience 375,00	y 1,000,000	FUNDING Total Pr	NG: ALL PHASE oject Cost: \$4	1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 Comments
Total Total Ful Operating A. Budget Ch	III Time E g Budget change: Wag Fringe I g Expense Cl	\$750,000 Equivalent Positi Impact les/Salaries Benefits (50.61%) hange	Design Construction Equipment Other Total OPER ons:	\$750,000 ATING & MAIN	Deficience 375,00	y 1,000,000	FUNDING Total Pr	As new hardware an is purchased mainte	1,000,000 1,000,000 4,750,000 Comments
Total Ful Operating A. Budget Cr B. Recurring Utilities (Fother (Ex	Ill Time E g Budget thange: Wag Fringe I g Expense Cl HVAC, Water/	\$750,000 Equivalent Positi Impact les/Salaries Benefits (50.61%) hange Wastewater)	Design Construction Equipment Other Total OPER ons:	\$750,000 ATING & MAIN 2017	Deficience 375,00	Estimate ZPENSE ANA 2019	FUNDING Total Pr	As new hardware an is purchased mainte	1,000,000 1,000,000 4,750,000 Comments
Total Ful Operating A. Budget Cr B. Recurring Utilities (For Other (Ex) C. Non-Recurring	Ill Time E g Budget thange: Wag Fringe I g Expense Cl HVAC, Water/ xplain)	\$750,000 Equivalent Positi Impact les/Salaries Benefits (50.61%) hange Wastewater)	Design Construction Equipment Other Total OPER ons: 2016	\$750,000 ATING & MAIN 2017	Deficience 375,00	Estimate ZPENSE ANA 2019	FUNDING Total Pr	As new hardware an is purchased mainte	1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 Comments
Total Ful Operating A. Budget Ch B. Recurring Utilities (H Other (Ex	till Time E g Budget thange: Wag Fringe I g Expense Cl HVAC, Water/ xplain) urring Expen	\$750,000 Equivalent Positi Impact les/Salaries Benefits (50.61%) hange Wastewater) se Change ar (Explain) Sub-total	Design Construction Equipment Other Total OPER ons: 2016	\$750,000 ATING & MAIN 2017 17,500	Deficience 375,00	2019 1,000,00 Estimate 2019	FUNDIN ed Total Pr LYSIS 2020	As new hardware an is purchased mainte	1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 Comments
Total Ful Operating A. Budget Ch B. Recurring Utilities (H Other (Ex C. Non-Recu Move-In/S	Ill Time E g Budget change: Wag Fringe I g Expense Cl HVAC, Water/ xplain) urring Expen /Start Up/Othe	\$750,000 Equivalent Positi Impact les/Salaries Benefits (50.61%) hange Wastewater) se Change ar (Explain) Sub-total	Design Construction Equipment Other Total OPER ons: 2016	\$750,000 ATING & MAIN 2017 17,500	Deficience 375,00	2019 1,000,00 Estimate 2019	FUNDIN ed Total Pr LYSIS 2020	As new hardware an is purchased mainte	1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 Comments
Total Total Ful Operating A. Budget Cr B. Recurring Utilities (For Other (Ext.) C. Non-Recurring D. E. Changes in F. G. COST AVG	dll Time E g Budget change: Wag Fringe I g Expense Cl HVAC, Water/ xplain) urring Expen /Start Up/Othe in Operating	\$750,000 Equivalent Positi Impact les/Salaries Benefits (50.61%) hange Wastewater) se Change er (Explain) Sub-total Revenue	Design Construction Equipment Other Total OPER ons: 2016	\$750,000 ATING & MAIN 2017 17,500	Deficience 375,000 TENANCE E 2018 35,000	2019 35,000	FUNDIN ed Total Pr LYSIS 2020 35,000	As new hardware an is purchased mainter required.	1,000,000 1,000,000 4,750,000 Comments and software enance is
Total Total Ful Operating A. Budget Cr B. Recurring Utilities (Form of the Cext) C. Non-Recurring Move-In/S D. E. Changes in F. G. COST AV(Energy Cr Energy Cr Ener	Ill Time E g Budget Change: Wag Fringe g Expense Cl HVAC, Water/ xplain) urring Expen //Start Up/Othe	\$750,000 Equivalent Positi Impact les/Salaries Benefits (50.61%) hange Wastewater) se Change er (Explain) Sub-total Revenue	Design Construction Equipment Other Total OPER ons: 2016	\$750,000 ATING & MAIN 2017 17,500	Deficience 375,000 TENANCE E 2018 35,000	2019 35,000	FUNDIN ed Total Pr LYSIS 2020 35,000	As new hardware an is purchased mainte	1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000
Total Total Ful Operating A. Budget Cr B. Recurring Utilities (Form of the Cext) C. Non-Recurring Move-In/S D. E. Changes in F. G. COST AV(Energy Cr Energy Cr Ener	dll Time E g Budget change: Wag Fringe I g Expense Cl HVAC, Water/ xplain) urring Expen /Start Up/Othe in Operating	\$750,000 Equivalent Positi Impact les/Salaries Benefits (50.61%) hange Wastewater) se Change er (Explain) Sub-total Revenue	Design Construction Equipment Other Total OPER ons: 2016	\$750,000 ATING & MAIN 2017 17,500	Deficience 375,000 TENANCE E 2018 35,000	2019 35,000	FUNDIN ed Total Pr LYSIS 2020 35,000	As new hardware an is purchased mainter required. Replacing the VolP	1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000
Total Total Ful Operating A. Budget Ch B. Recurring Utilities (H Other (Ex C. Non-Recu Move-In/3 D. E. Changes I F. G. COST AV Energy Co Productive	Ill Time E Ing Budget Ishange: Wag Fringe I Ing Expense Ci In VAC, Water/ Ixplain) In Operating In Operating	\$750,000 Equivalent Positi Impact les/Salaries Benefits (50.61%) hange Wastewater) se Change er (Explain) Sub-total Revenue	Design Construction Equipment Other Total OPER ons: 2016	\$750,000 ATING & MAIN 2017 17,500 17,500	Deficience 375,00 TENANCE E 2018 35,000	2019 35,000 36,000	FUNDIN ed Total Pr LYSIS 2020 35,000 35,000	As new hardware an is purchased mainter required. Replacing the VolP	1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 Comments Indisoftware enance is

2015 Ger	neral Obliga	ation Bone	<u>d Progran</u>	n - Car	oital Proje	ect Req	uest Forn	n (PRF)
00	vation, Repair, S vements: Existi			D	epartment Co Division Fa	and the same of th	cilities munity Services	3
Estimated Comp	letion Date On-	-Going		C	ouncil District(s) cw		
Location City-Wi	de			N	M House Distri	ct(s) CW	1 1	
	80 Boundaries	☐ Activity Cer	nter C	orridor N	M Senate Distr	ict(s) CW	1 1	
Project Type	Growth	⊠ R€	ehabilitation 7	<u>5%</u>	□ Deficience □ Deficience	y <u>25%</u>	☐ Man	date
and technology up Centers, Child De- computer equipme	ovate, demolish, con ogrades (including covelopment Centers, ent) and/or furnishin	omputer equipmer Health and Social	nt) and otherwise I Service Centers	make improvand related	vements to existing FCS facilities. Pro	ng FCS faciliti urchase relate	es: to include Co ed equipment (inc	ommunity luding
safe, healthy, and		NT: All residents i	nave the opportur	nity to particip	pate in the comm	unity and eco	nomy, and are w	ell sheltered,
	rity Objective llow the department on and changing ne		to renovate portion	ons of facilitie	es, to increase or	perating efficie	ency and to accor	mmodate
also helps to creat maintenance cost changes is that we	ended to update built te jobs and promote s. It will also be used e will have outdated, if developing a facilit ures.	economic opportud to remodel and en nonfunctional spaties maintenance/i	unity. Capital func expand our existir aces which will re inventory plan list	ding is neede ng buildings f sult in having	ed to retrofit for Al for changing need g to turn away cli	OA compliance ds. The alternates and service eds, 1-3 year	e, energy savings ative to not makir ice providers. Th needs, 3-5 needs	s and lower ng these e department s as basis for
	واستخفادها التالا	G: CURRENT F					: FUTURE PH	ASES
Sources of Funds		Estimated	Cost	Rehabilit			Bond Cycle	2000
2015 G.O. Bond Secured Funding	2,300,000	Land	700,000	1,725,				2023
		Design Construction	1,300,000	Deficien 575		000 1,000	,000 1,500,0	00 1,000,000
2-1-6		Equipment	500,000	313,	,000			
		Other	300,000			7112121	10 11 DILA	
_ , ,							IG: ALL PHAS	
Total	\$2,300,000		\$2,300,000 ATING & MAIN				oject Cost:	\$7,300,000
Tatal Full Time (APING A MAIN		EXPENSE AN	ALTOIO	will a rule —	Cylinder 1
	Equivalent Positi		2047	2240	-040			
Operating Budget	Impact	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Explanatory	Comments
A. Budget Change: Wag Fringe	ges/Salaries Benefits (50.61%)			V				
B. Recurring Expense C Utilities (HVAC, Water Other (Explain)								
C. Non-Recurring Exper Move-in/Start Up/Oth	_	7-1 1						
D.	Sub-total	ı						
	g Revenue							
E. Changes in Operating	*****							
	NET COST IMPAC	Т						
		Т	1,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	HVAC savings, remaintenance cos renovated facility efficient.	ts for newly
F. G. COST AVOIDANCE Energy Conservation Productivity Savings Other			1,000	2,000 2,000	2,000	2,000	maintenance cos renovated facility	ts for newly

PRF ID								
And the state of t	ley Community	y Center			partment Co		cilities nunity Services	
Estimated Complet	tion Date Dec	cember 2016		Cou	uncil District(s	s) 1	1 1 4	
Location 3928 Rince	on NW			NM	l House Distri	ct(s) 16	1 1 1	
	Boundaries	☐ Activity Cer	nter 🔲 C		Senate Distr		1 1 1	1
Project Type	Growth		ehabilitation 10		☐ Deficiend		☐ Mand	ate
Scope Plan, design, renovat existing Pat Hurley Co			ish, provide secur	rity and techno	logy upgrades	and otherwise	make improveme	nts to the
5-Year Goal HUMAN AND FAMIL safe, healthy, and ed	lucated.	NT: All residents	have the opportur	nity to participa	ite in the comm	nunity and eco	nomy, and are wel	l sheltered,
Program or Priority Support and promote City facility.		reational, and soc	cial opportunities f	for city resident	ts, to include ye	outh, adult and	seniors and to re	habilitate a
Justification/Altern This project supports opportunities for city wear and tear necess dilapidated building a	maintenance and residents, to inclu sitates consistent	ude youth, adult a t maintenance at h	and seniors. Pat H high cost. The cer	Hurley Commun enter needs to b	nity Center is o	old and has str	uctural problems.	The daily
	FUNDING	G: CURRENT F	PHASE			FUNDING	: FUTURE PHA	SES
Sources of Funds		Estimated	Cost	Rehabilitat				
2015 G.O. Bond	1,400,000	Land	11476	1,400,00		2019	2021	2023
Secured Funding		Design	200,000	Deficiency	v		WILL THAT HE	
2009 GO Bond	500,000							
2009 GO Bond 2013 GO Bond	500,000 250,000	Construction	1,700,000					
		Construction Equipment Other						
		Equipment	1,700,000			FUNDIN	G: ALL PHASI	ES
		Equipment Other Total	1,700,000 250,000 \$2,150,000		Estima	ted Total Pr		ES 2,150,000
2013 GO Bond Total	250,000 \$2,150,000	Equipment Other Total OPER	1,700,000 250,000		Estima	ted Total Pr		
2013 GO Bond Total Total Full Time Equ	\$2,150,000 \$2,150,000 uivalent Positio	Equipment Other Total OPER	1,700,000 250,000 \$2,150,000 RATING & MAIN	ITENANCE E	Estima EXPENSE AN	ted Total Pr ALYSIS	oject Cost: \$	2,150,000
2013 GO Bond Total	\$2,150,000 \$2,150,000 uivalent Positio	Equipment Other Total OPER	1,700,000 250,000 \$2,150,000		Estima	ted Total Pr		2,150,000
Total Total Full Time Equ Operating Budget im A. Budget Change: Wages/	\$2,150,000 \$2,150,000 uivalent Position pact	Equipment Other Total OPER	1,700,000 250,000 \$2,150,000 RATING & MAIN	ITENANCE E	Estima EXPENSE AN	ted Total Pr ALYSIS	oject Cost: \$	2,150,000
Total Total Full Time Equ Operating Budget im A. Budget Change: Wages/	\$2,150,000 \$2,150,000 uivalent Position npact /Salaries nefits (50.61%)	Equipment Other Total OPER	1,700,000 250,000 \$2,150,000 RATING & MAIN	ITENANCE E	Estima EXPENSE AN	ted Total Pr ALYSIS	oject Cost: \$	2,150,000
Total Total Full Time Equ Operating Budget Im A. Budget Change: Wages/ Fringe Ber B. Recurring Expense Chan Utilities (HVAC, Water/	\$2,150,000 \$2,150,000 uivalent Position pact /Salaries nefits (50.61%) nge estewater)	Equipment Other Total OPER	1,700,000 250,000 \$2,150,000 RATING & MAIN	ITENANCE E	Estima EXPENSE AN	ted Total Pr ALYSIS	oject Cost: \$	2,150,000
Total Total Full Time Equ Operating Budget Im A. Budget Change: Wages Fringe Ber B. Recurring Expense Chan Utilities (HVAC, Water/Water) Other (Explain) C. Non-Recurring Expense	\$2,150,000 \$2,150,000 uivalent Position pact /Salaries nefits (50.61%) nge estewater)	Equipment Other Total OPER ons: 2016	1,700,000 250,000 \$2,150,000 RATING & MAIN	ITENANCE E	Estima EXPENSE AN	ted Total Pr ALYSIS	oject Cost: \$	2,150,000
Total Total Full Time Equ Operating Budget Im A. Budget Change: Wages/ Fringe Ber B. Recurring Expense Chan Utilities (HVAC, Water/Wa Other (Explain) C. Non-Recurring Expense Move-In/Start Up/Other (Explain)	\$2,150,000 \$2,150,000 uivalent Position pact /Salaries nefits (50.61%) nge astewater) Change Explain) Sub-total	Equipment Other Total OPER ons: 2016	1,700,000 250,000 \$2,150,000 RATING & MAIN	ITENANCE E	Estima EXPENSE AN	ted Total Pr ALYSIS	oject Cost: \$	2,150,000
Total Total Full Time Equ Operating Budget Im A. Budget Change: Wages/ Fringe Ber B. Recurring Expense Chan Utilities (HVAC, Water/Wa Other (Explain) C. Non-Recurring Expense Move-In/Start Up/Other (ED). E. Changes in Operating Re	\$2,150,000 \$2,150,000 uivalent Position pact /Salaries nefits (50.61%) nge astewater) Change Explain) Sub-total	Equipment Other Total OPER OPER 2016	1,700,000 250,000 \$2,150,000 RATING & MAIN	ITENANCE E	Estima EXPENSE AN	ted Total Pr ALYSIS	oject Cost: \$	2,150,000
Total Total Full Time Equ Operating Budget Im A. Budget Change: Wages/ Fringe Ber B. Recurring Expense Chan Utilities (HVAC, Water/Wa Other (Explain) C. Non-Recurring Expense Move-In/Start Up/Other (E D. E. Changes in Operating Re F. NE G. COST AVOIDANCE Energy Conservation Sav Productivity Savings	\$2,150,000 \$2,150,000 uivalent Position pact /Salaries nefits (50.61%) nge astewater) Change Explain) Sub-total evenue	Equipment Other Total OPER OPER 2016	1,700,000 250,000 \$2,150,000 RATING & MAIN	ITENANCE E	Estima EXPENSE AN	ted Total Pr ALYSIS	oject Cost: \$	Comments ce costs for
Total Total Full Time Equ Operating Budget Im A. Budget Change: Wages/ Fringe Ber B. Recurring Expense Chan Utilities (HVAC, Water/	\$2,150,000 \$2,150,000 uivalent Position pact /Salaries nefits (50.61%) nge astewater) Change Explain) Sub-total evenue	Equipment Other Total OPER Sons: 2016	1,700,000 250,000 \$2,150,000 RATING & MAIN	2018	Estima EXPENSE AN 2019	ted Total Pr ALYSIS 2020	Explanatory (Comments ce costs for

2015 Gene	<u>eral Obliga</u>	<u>ation Bon</u>	d Progran	<u>n - Cap</u>	<u>ital Proje</u>	ct Requ	uest Form	(PRF)
PRF ID Dennis	Chavez Comm	nunity Center		De	epartment Co Division Fa		cilities munity Services	
Estimated Comple	etion Date Jun	ie 2018		Co	ouncil District(s)) 2		
Location 715 Kath	ryn SE 87102			NA	M House Distric	ct(s) 10	1 1 1	1
) Boundaries	☐ Activity Cer	nter 🗆 C	orridor NA	M Senate Distri	ict(s) 12	1 1 1	
Project Type	Growth	⊠ Re	ehabilitation <u>75</u>	5%	□ Deficience □ Deficience	y <u>25%</u>	☐ Manda	ate
Scope								
Plan, design, renove existing Dennis Cha			ish, provide secur	ity and techno	ology upgrades a	and otherwise	make improvemen	nts to the
5-Year Goal HUMAN AND FAMI safe, healthy, and e		NT: All residents h	have the opportun	nity to particip	ate in the commi	unity and ecor	nomy, and are well	sheltered,
Program or Priori Support and promot City facility.		reational, and soc	ial opportunities f	or city resider	nts, to include yo	outh, adult and	I seniors and to rel	nabilitate a
Justification/Alter This project support opportunities for city necessitates consis building and continu	ts maintenance and y residents, to inclustent maintenance	ude youth, adult as at high cost. The	nd seniors. This f center needs to b	facility is old a	and has structura	al problems. T	The daily wear and	tear
	FUNDING	G: CURRENT F	PHASE			FUNDING	: FUTURE PHA	SES
Sources of Funds		Estimated	Cost	Rehabilita			Sond Cycle	1
2015 G.O. Bond Secured Funding	1,400,000	Land	272.000	1,050,0			2021	2023
2011 GO Bond	700,000	Design Construction	250,000	Deficienc		000		
2013 GO Bond	600,000	Equipment	2,150,000 300,000	350,0	500			
1-141-		Other	000,000			FUNDIN	O ALL DUACE	-0
Takal	45 700 000	Total	44 -44 444		Fatimas		IG: ALL PHASE	
Total	\$2,700,000		\$2,700,000 ATING & MAIN	TENANCE		ted Total Pr	oject Cost: a	3,700,000
Tetal Cull Time E			ATING A WITH	ILIVAIVOL	EXPENSE AN	AL I OIO		
Total Full Time Ed	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		2047	2040	2040	2020	Company (
Operating Budget I		2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Explanatory (Comments
A. Budget Change: Wage Fringe B	es/Salaries enefits (50.61%)					7		
B. Recurring Expense Cha Utilities (HVAC, Water/V								
C. Non-Recurring Expens Move-In/Start Up/Other	_							
D.	Sub-total							
E. Changes in Operating	Revenue							
F. N	ET COST IMPAC	т		FILE				
G. COST AVOIDANCE Energy Conservation S	avings			2,000	4,000	4,000	Reduce maintenan newly renovated fa	
Productivity Savings							Energy efficient	unity.
Other	OST AVOIDANCE			2,000	4,000	4,000		omy.

2015 Gene	eral Obliga	ation Bon	d Progran	n - C	apital	Project	Requ	iest F	orm	(PRF)
PRF ID 73 Westga	ite Community				Departm	nent Comm sion Family	unity Fac	cilities		
Estimated Comple	tion Date Dec	cember 2020			Council	District(s)	3		1	
Location 1400 Sno	w Vista SW				NM Hou	se District(s)	13		- 1	1
☑ 1980	Boundaries	☐ Activity Cer	nter 🔲 Co	orridor	NM Sena	ate District(s)) 11		1	1
Project Type	Growth	⊠ Re	ehabilitation 10	00%		Deficiency			Manda	te
Scope To design, renovate, Community Center.	demolish, constr	uct, equip, furnish	ı, provide security	improver	ments and	otherwise mak	e improve	ements to t	ne West	gate
5-Year Goal HUMAN AND FAMIL safe, healthy, and ed		NT: All residents	have the opportun	nity to par	ticipate in t	he community	and econ	omy, and a	are well s	sheltered,
Program or Priorit Support and promote City facility.		reational, and soc	cial opportunities f	or city res	sidents, to i	include youth,	adult and	seniors an	d to reha	abilitate
Justification/Altern This project supports opportunities for city This facility is one of programs. This cente activities conducted maintenance and rep	s maintenance an residents to inclu the oldest and he er is now surround there currently. T	ide youth, adult ar eavily utilized com ded by the intense	nd seniors. It prom nmunity centers in e population growti	notes infill the city. I th on the S	l and comm It is inadeq SW mesa.	nunity revitaliza uate for the ne More space is	ation in pro eds of the needed to	eximity to to existing posterior	he existing opulation odate the	ng facility. n and e variety of
	FUNDING	G: CURRENT F				FU	JNDING:	FUTUR	E PHAS	SES
Sources of Funds		Estimated			oilitation	a madelli fact		ond Cycle		
2015 G.O. Bond Secured Funding	1,250,000	Land	1,678,000		50,000	2017	2019		021	2023
2009 GO Bond	4,000,000	Design Construction	450,000	Defic	iency	1,000,000	1,500,0	000		
2011 GO Bond	750,000	Equipment	3,950,000 422,000							
2013 GO Bond	500,000	Other	722,000				PI INDINI	- ALL F		
Total	00 500 000	Total	22 522 000					G: ALL F		
Total	\$6,500,000		\$6,500,000 RATING & MAIN	I TENAN(CE EXPE	Estimated 1		ect Cos	t: \$9	,000,000
Total Full Time Eq	wivelent Positi		MINO a WAR		DE EXI EI	NOL /NACL	010			THE PARTY
	ELMERT HAR	2016	2017	2019	20	40 2	200	Cyples	-4 C4	
A. Budget Change: Wages		2010	2017	2018	20	19 2	020	Explan	atory Co	omments
B. Recurring Expense Chai Utilities (HVAC, Water/W.	nge									
C. Non-Recurring Expense Move-In/Start Up/Other (_									
D.	Sub-total		×							
E. Changes in Operating R	7									
	T COST IMPACT									
G. COST AVOIDANCE Energy Conservation Sav Productivity Savings Other	rings						2,000	Reduce ma newly renor Energy effic	vated facil	
H. TOTAL CO	OST AVOIDANCE						2,000			
Dept Rank _4_ of	6	Staff Rating	401 M	Con	tact pers	on for this F	PRF	Jess F	R. Martin	ez

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

ATTACHMENT C

PLANNING DEPARTMENT URBAN DESIGN & DEVELOPMENT DIVISION 600 2nd Street NW, 3rd Floor, 87102 P.O. Box 1293, Albuquerque, NM 87103 Office (505) 924-3860 Fax (505) 924-3339



OFFICIAL NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

November 14, 2014

City of Albuquerque, CIP One Civic Plaza NW Albuquerque NM 87102

Project# 1002358

14EPC-40065 Special Project Request to Review Mayor's Proposed 2015 General Obligation Bond Program and 2015-2024 Decade Plan

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

Request the above actions for CITY WIDE, located on CITY WIDE
Staff Planner: Mark Motsko, Capital and

Infrastructure Development Manager, DMD

PO Box 1293

On November 13, 2014, the Environmental Planning Commission (EPC) voted to recommend Approval to the Mayor for Project# 1002358: 14EPC-40065, a request for Special Project Request to Review Mayor's Proposed 2015 General Obligation Bond Program and 2015-2024 Decade Plan, based on the following findings:

NM 87103

FINDINGS:

www.cabqlgov This "Special Project Review" case is a request for review and comment by the public and for recommendation from the Environmental Planning Commission on the Mayor's proposed Decade Plan 2015-2024 and the Genral Obligation Bond Program for the 2015 biennium.

2. This program conforms to the requirements of R-14-3-12; Enactment R-2010-037 establishing policies and criteria for the selection of capital improvement proejcts for the 2015 general

obligation bond program/2015-2024 decade program.

3. This program of captial improvements is supportive of the goals and objectives of the Albuquerque/Bernalillo County Comprehensive Plan, especially with respect to the development and preservation of assests within designated centers and corridors.

APPEAL: If you wish to appeal this decision, you must do so within 15 days of the EPC's decision or by **December 1, 2014.** The date of the EPC's decision is not included in the 15-day period for filing an appeal, and if the 15th day falls on a Saturday, Sunday or Holiday, the next working day is considered as the deadline for filing the appeal.

For more information regarding the appeal process, please refer to Section 14-16-4-4 of the Zoning Code. A Non-Refundable filing fee will be calculated at the Land Development Coordination Counter and is required at the time the appeal is filed. It is not possible to appeal EPC Recommendations to City Council; rather, a formal protest of the EPC's Recommendation can be filed within the 15 day period following the EPC's decision.

You will receive notification if any person files an appeal. If there is no appeal, you can receive Building Permits at any time after the appeal deadline quoted above, provided all conditions imposed at the time of approval have been met. Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City Zoning Code must be complied with, even after approval of the referenced application(s).

ZONE MAP AMENDMENTS: Pursuant to Zoning Code Section 14-16-4-1(C)(16), a change to the zone map does not become official until the Certification of Zoning (CZ) is sent to the applicant and any other person who requests it. Such certification shall be signed by the Planning Director after appeal possibilities have been concluded and after all requirements prerequisite to this certification are met. If such requirements are not met within six months after the date of final City approval, the approval is void. The Planning Director may extend this time limit up to an additional six months.

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLANS: Pursuant to Zoning Code Section 14-16-3-11(C)(1), if less than one-half of the approved square footage of a site development plan has been built or less than one-half of the site has been developed, the plan for the undeveloped areas shall terminate automatically seven years after adoption or major amendment of the plan: within six months prior to the seven-year deadline, the property owners shall request in writing through the Planning Director that the Planning Commission extend the plan's life an additional five years. Additional design details will be required as a project proceeds through the Development Review Board and through the plan check of Building Permit submittals for construction. Planning staff may consider minor, reasonable changes that are consistent with an approved Site Development Plan so long as they can be shown to be in conformance with the original, approved intent.

<u>DEFERRAL FEES</u>: Pursuant to Zoning Code Section 14-16-4-1(B), deferral at the request of the applicant is subject to a \$110.00 fee per case.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Lubar Planning Director

SL/MM

cc:



ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING COMMISSION

MINUTES

Thursday, November 13, 2014

COMMISSIONER MEMBERS PRESENT:

Peter Nicholls, Chair James Peck, Vice-Chair

Karen Hudson

Victor Beserra - LATE

Derek Bohannan Maia Mullen - LATE Moises Gonzalez - LATE

Bill McCoy

COMMISSIONER MEMBERS ABSENT:

STAFF PRESENT: Kym Dicome, Urban Design and Development, Planning Department

Vicente Quevedo, Urban Design and Development, Planning Department Maggie Gould, Urban Design and Development, Planning Department Catalina Lehner, Urban Design and Development, Planning Department

Dora Henry, Recording Secretary, Planning Department

- 1. Call to Order: 8:30 A.M.
 - A. Pledge of Allegiance
 - B. Announcement of Changes and/or Additions to the Agenda:
 - C. Approval of Amended Agenda
 - D. Swearing in of City Staff

2. Project# 1002358

14EPC-40065 Special Project Request to Review Mayor's Proposed 2015 General Obligation Bond Program and 2015-2024 Decade Plan COA/DMD/CIP DIV. agent for COA/DMD/CIP DIV. request the above actions for CITY WIDE, located on CITY WIDE

Staff Planner: Mark Motsko, Capital and Infrastructure Development Manager, DMD

EPC MINUTES November 13, 2014 Page 2 of 16

STAFF PRESENTING CASE:

Mark Motsko, CIP, Manager, DMD

PERSONS PRESENT TO SPEAK IN REFERENCE TO THIS REQUEST:

Janet Saiers, 1622 Propps NE, Albuquerque, NM 87112

CHAIR NICHOLLS: I believe we are ready for the first case.

MARK MOTSKO: Good Morning Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission. For the record, my name is Mark Motsko and I am the new Capital Planner of the Capital Implementation Program Division of the Department, I mean, Municipal Development. Joining me today are Wilfred Gallegos, Director of the Department of Municipal Development and 11 other Department Directors and their staff who are available to answer your questions. It takes a lot of people to make the General Obligation Program come together. Most of whom are behind you this morning. I would like to take this opportunity to thank them publicly for all their hard work. I would especially like to thank Barbara Taylor who was just appointed as the new Director for Parks and Recreation. Barbara Taylor has had GO bond process running like a well-oiled machine and while she has trained me for the last four cycles, I still feel like it's my job not to throw a monkey wrench into that machine.

I would also like to single out Donna Prieto-Altamirano who keeps track of all the details and creates the many reports that are required as we go through this two year planning and approval process. We appreciate the opportunity to present the Mayor's recommended 2015 General Obligation Bond Program. As you know, the Capital Improvement Program Ordinance requires the Mayor forward the Bi-annual General Obligation Bond Program and decade plan to the EPC every two years. EPC in turn is required to conduct the public hearing and to forward comments and recommendations to the Mayor. This meeting today fulfills that requirement. This document which was attached to your staff report is for your use and reviewing the Mayor's proposed program on capital improvements. It includes the 2015 General Obligation Bond Program and the 2015-2024 decade plan. Summary tables for enterprise fund capital programs for the Aviation Department, the Solid Waste Management Department. It also includes summaries for the Metropolitan Redevelopment Program and the consolidated plan for the use of the CDBG funds. Enterprise Fund Capital Programs were approved by the City Council in conjunction with the FY14 operating budget process. The general obligated bond program after review and approval by the City Council will be placed on the October 2015 Municipal Election ballot for voter consideration.

The Mayor's recommended GO Bond Program that is before you today is the product of a ten month planning process that is spelled out in the CIP ordinance. The process began in January 2014 with the submission to the City Council the criteria resolution to establish policy guidelines and project reading criteria for the 2015 bi-annual. First criteria resolution was passed by Council but vetoed by the Mayor and not overwritten. Council failed to pass a second criteria resolution. Then on May 19, a criteria resolution was passed. City user agencies submitted their projects requests by May 1st. Those projects were then rated and ranked by staff committee in July. In August, the City Senior Management Committee which includes the CAO and City Council Staff, we call that the CIP Committee, met to evaluate the proposed projects and make the decisions required to conform to the proposed program to the available funding. A more detailed explanation of the planning process may be found on page 6 of the program document.

EPC MINUTES November 13, 2014 Page 3 of 16

Departments were asked to plan and present their capital program based on an overall bonding capacity of 110,000 million dollars. During the planning process, the capacity was increased to 115,000 million dollars. This continues to be the lowest capacity since the 1999 bi-annual.

General Obligation Bond Debt Service is secured by property taxes. Their many factors which determine bonding capacity but over the last few years three major factors have played a role in the amount of property taxes available. The decline in economic activity, especially in construction activity, has resulted and reduced revenue from gross receipt taxes and property taxes. The relief the resulting stress on the operating budget four mills of property taxes previously used to support the general obligation bond program were moved from debt service to the general fund.

And finally, since 2009 assessment evaluation growth has been either flat or in decline for a total decline of nearly 4%. Since these trends occurred around 2009, our financial advisors tell us it looks like we're turning a corner at a much slower pace than we had originally hoped that submitted about 121 million in projects requests. When combined with programs that are mandated to be included in the general obligation bond program, the request for capital funding total about 134 million dollars, about 19 million dollars more than the available funding.

The departments were required as part of their formal presentation to the CIP Committee to recommend how they would structure their capital program to conform to the available funding. Consequently, the program before you today of capital projects broadly reflect the user agency priorities.

2015 Capital Program encompasses of broad spectrum of needs across many city agencies. 14 % of the capital projects are for programs that are mandated. These programs included 9 million dollars for the City Council neighborhood set aside program, 3% for energy conservation projects, 1 million dollars for marked police vehicles, 1.6 million dollars for trails and bike ways, and 1% for public art. About 63% of the capital program is recommended for basic services including streets, storm drainage, Fire, Police, Parks and Recreation and Transit. The remaining 23% is divided among various community facilities and many would argue that these facilities should also be categorized as basic services. These include: Libraries, Community and Senior Centers, the Zoo, Aquarium, Botanic Gardens, Animal Welfare, and various redevelopment efforts and important public facility preservation and modernization projects.

As I noted, the action required of EPC today, is to conduct a public hearing and to forward findings and recommendations to the Mayor and through the Mayor to the City Council. EPC has been asked to accept a finding that the 2015 capital program conforms to the requirements of resolution 1451 establishing policies and criteria for the selection of capital projects for the 2015 General Obligation Bond Program the 2015 to 2020 decade plan.

The following summarizes how the capital program conforms to the resolution.

Section 1 states the estimated bonding capacity for 2015 is 110 million dollars. As I mentioned earlier, our financial advisor has proposed an increase capacity of 115 million dollars. The CIP committee followed that recommendation.

Section 2 states that the program shall support adopted growth policies and that for the two year 2015 GO Bond program. It shall be the policy of the city to emphasize infrastructure and facility improvements that support rehabilitation, deficiency correction and/or development of designated activity centers and corridors and to support projects that contribute to economic development within

EPC MINUTES November 13, 2014 Page 4 of 16

these areas. Of the 97 recommended projects, 81 or about 84% support centers and corridors and further 58 or about 60% support in-fill projects defined as those that fall within the 1980 municipal boundaries. **Section 3** establishes the requirement that 90% of the 2015 GO bond program before rehabilitation and deficiency correction of existing facilities and systems and further that at least 55% of that amount be just for rehabilitation projects. Rehabilitation is defined as projects that extend the service life of an existing facility or system or that restore original performance or capacity by rehabilitating or replacing system components. As shown in table 1 on each one of the program document, 95% of the 2015 GO bond program is for rehabilitation and deficiency correction, and 61% are just for rehabilitation projects.

Section 4 establishes program funding allocations rather than read through the whole table you will see on table 2 on page 4 that recommended funding closely tracts the funding allocations.

Section 5 establishes vehicle set aside goals as the following: approximately 1 million dollars for marked police vehicles. This cycle police are requesting 4 million dollars for both marked and unmarked vehicles.

Section 6 requires that each project be evaluated according to the attached criteria. All projects except those that are mandated were rated in rank from high to low by staff committee in July.

Section 7 requires that each project be categorized as growth, rehabilitation, deficiency, or mandated. All projects were categorized as required.

Section 8 requires all projects to be rated and that the ratings be divided into high, medium, and low. More than 10% of the proposed general obligation bond program shall be allocated to project with low priority ratings. All projects except those that were mandated were rated and ranked. Approximately 10% of the recommended program funds are derived from low rank projects.

Section 9 was added in the City Council past criteria resolution in May. Section 9 states "It should be the priority of the Council in the 2015 General Obligation Bond Program to fund projects related to Senior Centers, Community Centers, Multi-generational Centers, and Parks and Recreation Facilities." The CIP Committee programmed nearly a millions for these facilities.

Section 10 was also added in May. It states to the extent the additional capacity above 110 million, the City Council will consider the appropriation of additional funding to implement provisions of the goals of the Workforce Housing Opportunity Act. CIP Committee increases the Workforce Housing PRF (Project Request Form) from 2 million dollars to 2.4 million dollars.

Based on this information, it is our belief that the 2015 General Obligation Bond Program is consistent with the policies established in a criteria resolution. Following EPC's review and the Mayor's consideration of your comments, the next step in the process is for the Mayor to forward the capital program to City Council. The City Council will hold at least one public hearing. They will mark up and adopt the capital program and finally, the general obligation bond questions will be placed on the ballot for voter approval in the municipal election on October 6th, 2015.

Mr. Chairman, Commission thank you very much for your time that concludes the administrations presentation. We stand for any questions you may have.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: First, let the record reflect that we now have all Commissioners present. Commissioners any questions? I just have one and it maybe screamingly obvious to everybody but not to me and that is that I see, for example, on page 50 we've got Kirkland Air Force Base South Detention Base money set aside there in 2015-2017. Is the fuel spill that is currently on going on Kirtland, is that part of this or is that entirely separate?

MR MOTSKO: Mr. Chairman, I would ask Melissa Luzoya to come and answer your question.

EPC MINUTES November 13, 2014 Page 5 of 16

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Do you swear to tell the truth and give us your name and address for the record please.

MELISSA LUZOYA: Melissa Luzoya, 3911 Linda Vista Ave, Rio Rancho, NM.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: And you are representing?

MS LUZOYA: Engineering Division.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Okay.

MS LUZOYA: And the project that you mentioned does fall within the engineering division and it is totally separate from the fuel spill. This project is primarily focused on storm drainage improvements and in this case, developing and implementing a dam or a storage basin to capture storm drain run off.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Okay, thank you. Commissioners anything else? Thank you, sir. Ms. Dicome, do we have anybody else signed up? Your name and address please.

MS JANET SAIERS: I'm Janet Saiers, my address is 1622 Propps NE 87112 District 9.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Do you swear to tell the truth under penalty of perjury?

MS SAIERS: Yes.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Who are you representing today?

MS SAIERS: Well, I'm representing myself as a longtime resident but also the Open Space Advisory Board of which I am a member.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Let's start you with five minutes, ma'am.

MS SAIERS: I wasn't sure what the timeframe was. Thank you and thank you for having this hearing and I'm not, I didn't come today with all the information that I need. All the numbers that I wanted to call attention to the very small number, the small amount and it's on page 19 and that is for, it's within the Parks and Recreation Department and that is for 200 thousand dollars for Open Space Land Acquisition. And I was hoping that at some point this Commission or the members of the City Council might recommend that that amount be higher. The open space, the city open space effort has really got started way back in the 1960's. There's been a lot community effort. There was the Elaina Gallegos tax in the 1980's that created a lot of funding. At various times, the City Council has put some very large amounts. But over the last couple cycles, the amount for open space land acquisition has just dwindled and dwindled and dwindled. And this 200 thousand could probably pay for some surveys and some appraisals but it's not going to buy anything. So I guess maybe that's the bad news. The good news though is the Open Space Advisory Board is a hard working group of seven community volunteers and we're interested in a couple angles on this whole open space acquisition. And the first one is as most people observed last Tuesday, there was a very, there was a positive vote on the county question, mill levy question for open space and that's, that's going to create a couple of million dollars a year to benefit the Bernalillo County's Open Space Program which is in their Parks and Recreation Department. Unfortunately, there's not a strong relationship, right now, between the City's open space

EPC MINUTES November 13, 2014 Page 6 of 16

program and the County's open space program but I think with the passage of this mill levy that would give an opportunity for there to be better communication between the City and the County and I know our group of volunteers that serve on the advisory board are wanting to further the communication. So that's number one.

Number two is in year's past, there had been very successful taxes that city voters approved specifically to funds the open space program. So I think that's an angle that looking at this very small amount this knowing that the property tax the bond issue isn't going to be able accomplish what needs to be accomplished. So I think I've rambled. Unfortunately, I didn't, I didn't prepare very well for this but on behalf of just myself as an individual, but our the open space program of the City I would urge the EPC to consider as one of its findings just looking at the needs of open space land acquisition. There's quite a lengthy list in the tens of millions of dollars of land that has been officially approved on the plan for acquisition but of course, there's no money to buy it. But I would just urge the EPC to think about that and whether that finding could be added just to look at the needs.

And in closing, I also wanted to speak in favor of the 118 Street Regional Sports Complex. As a younger adult, I spent thousands of hours watching adult softball at USS Bullhead, at Los Altos, at Vietnam Veterans Parks, and the City desperately needs a sports complex. So I would urge your support on that and thank you very much.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Commissioners any questions? I just have one ma'am. You mentioned Bernalillo County was doing something apparently quite good, in terms, of their open space budgeting etc. Did you imply potentially that there would be money to share between or are those monies quite separate?

MS SAIERS: Well, of course, Bernalillo County is its own government with its own decision making processes as is the City. However, everyone that lives in the city lives in the County and so we are all paying, we're going to be paying that mill levy that will generate a couple of millions dollars a year to support their program. Many of the open space parcels on the city's list are in the extra territorial area of the County. So there just needs to be communication between city government, county government, and the two open space programs. That's certainly since it's all the pots of tax payer money, we need to be cooperating but Bernalillo County they're going to make their own decisions but I think we as volunteers can certainly bring to their attention and the attention of their Commissioners of the County Commissioners that cooperation would help benefit everyone. I don't know if I answered your question.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Yes, absolutely. Commissioner Hudson?

COMMISSIONER HUDSON: Yes, Thank you Mr. Chair. Ms. Sayers I'm wondering if you can share with us what the last piece of property that was purchased for open space, number one and number two is there a particular piece of property on the list that you refer to that would be the priority for the Open Space Advisory Committee?

MS SAIERS: I'm, I'm going to have to plead that I did not do my homework. The Parks and Recreation Director is here and she can speak for that or Matt Schmader who is the division head for open space can certainly identify or answer those two questions.

MS BARBARA TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman and Commissioner Bohannon thank you for the question.

EPC MINUTES November 13, 2014 Page 7 of 16

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Give us your name and address for the record please.

MS TAYLOR: I'm Barbara Taylor. I'm the Director of Parks and Recreation for the City of Albuquerque.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: And you swear to tell the truth under penalty of perjury?

MS TAYLOR: I swear to tell the truth today.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Okay, let's start you with five minutes. I don't think you're going to need that but let's start you with five.

MS TAYLOR: Thank you Mr. Chairman. Matt Schmader is here and he can answer the specific question that was asked and then I would like to come and slightly on the program.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: And your name and address for the record.

MR MATT SCHMADER: Matt Schmader, I'm the Superintendent of the Open Space Division, PO Box 1293.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: And you swear to tell the truth under penalty of perjury?

MR SCHMADER: I certainly do. Mr. Chair and Commissioner Hudson, the last properties that were acquired are on the West mesa in the vicinity of Petroglyph National Monument and along what's called the middle part of the Boca Negra Arroya. So it's in the Volcano Cliff's developing area and it was important to acquire those properties because development, as we know, on the west mesa is really proceeding at a pretty rapid rate. So those were the last properties we acquired. It was approximately 30 acres over about an 18 month period ending last year. And as far as future programing, it's a little bit sensitive because we have to look at the open space acquisition priority list that the board members Saiers referred to. There are potential negotiations with the future sellers and so it's a little bit hard to divulge everything but these would be properties that are also out on the west side development so there is programming. And I would just note that what board members Saiers said about the County is true. They have their own evaluation process and their actually at this point in this stage of reorganizing a lot of the way they administer their own program but we do communicate very regularly and very effectively with them.

COMMISSIONER HUDSON: So on the properties that you're referring to that are the preferred properties next in line. How much will those cost?

MR SCHMADER: The Open Space Advisory Board maintains an acquisition priority list and it's mostly based off of implementing the comprehensive plan. So when you look at the comp plan it showed what they call an 'open space network' which is thousands of acres in access in what we already own. So all of the properties are listed out there still some 7,500 acres that are on the priority list to acquire.

COMMISSIONER HUSDON: Okay, so we've got 200,000 is allocated for 2015 and theirs 2 million that's allocated every two years thereafter. Is that correct?

EPC MINUTES November 13, 2014 Page 8 of 16

MS TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner that is correct. I am the former capital planner and I can remember when we had capital programs of 160 million dollars. I would like to say on behalf of Parks that we agree with Ms. Saiers. We desperately wish that we could double the amount of money that was allocated to the Parks and Recreation Department and we could spend it. But at 115 million dollars in the capital program very, very difficult decisions need to be made. The key value in the criteria resolution is rehabilitation and preservation of assets and we allocated two million dollars to the renovation of our parks. I would just like to tell you that we have 34 irrigation systems that are more than 50 years old and three of them are more than 60 years old. These are very hard decisions that need to be made. I wish we had five million dollars to go out and buy all the open space that we could. We have forty parcels and 29 thousand acres. Albuquerque is truly blessed with its open space system but we had to make choices this year and the sports complex that Ms. Saiers talked about was is a very important value and this three million dollars that's in the program will go to begin to develop those acreages and the other high dollar thing in the parks program is to get after our leaky aged irrigation systems that will be a water conservation effort. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Commissioners any other questions? Okay. I do have, I think, for us in closing. Okay then I believe we are ready to close the floor and begin our deliberations. Don't all rush in at once?

COMMISSIONER HUDSON: I will start.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Go ahead Commissioner Hudson.

COMMISSIONER HUDSON: Thank you Mr. Chair. Well, first I want to commend the whole group on this job that you have done. This was a lot of a good sleeping material. No but actually this is very, very, very good material. I went through the whole packet by the way, and I know this is a lot of work that you guys put into it. So based on what I just heard, we do have a lot of problems with our storm drainage and just the improvement that we have in our infrastructure right now in the city. And I appreciate the fact that we are going to put so much money toward it and to repair it and put it into a condition that Albuquerque will thrive in the future. Ms. Saiers, we thank you for your comments and we appreciate your concern about open space. I love the open space but it was made pretty clear what the priority is. So, we that I would move to approve this obligation bond program that's been presented.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Let me ask you Commissioner Hudson. Do you feel that after the discussion we heard this morning that we might add in a fourth finding to ask for a further review for open space to look at the funding there?

COMMISSIONER HUDSON: Mr. Chair, I don't think that's necessary. I know we have a lot of open space now. It's very apparent that we have other issues that are priorities and although I appreciate it and I do have 2 million dollars plan for the next several years going forward but I think that at this point the infrastructure for the City of Albuquerque is more, more of a priority than it would be for open space.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: With that in mind, I'll certainly be willing to second the motion if you so make that. Commissioners any further discussions? Commissioner Gonzalez then Commissioner Peck.

COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: I just want to touch for a moment on what our responsibilities is here in reviewing this plan. There are actually dozens of line items that I might have questions about but

EPC MINUTES November 13, 2014 Page 9 of 16

really we don't have the, we don't have the time to get into each department and ask about each line item. And so I'm a little, I'm just a little concerned about what it would mean to give an affirmative vote to this plan. It seems to me kind of superficial. Like I said, I looked through the whole plan as Commissioner Hudson did and also found good sleeping material but seriously the... I just have some questions about the process about what it means for me to push this forward. I'm not saying that there were any red flags that jumped out at me but there were certainly a lot of things I might choose to do differently in this budget. So with that said I'm not sure that I will give an affirmative vote if that's what the motion is.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Mr. Matsko, would you like to make some comments on that.

MR MATSKO: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Gonzalez, this is a process that is spelled out in the CIP ordinance where the user agencies who Parks and Recreation and Family and Community Services, Cultural Services, they deal with our citizens on Albuquerque on a daily basis and they really know what are needed as far as rehabilitation and deficiency corrections in their systems. So this is basically coming from the citizens themselves because staff behind me works with them on a daily basis. So that's what we present to the EPC spelled out in the CIP ordinance. EPC then makes a recommendations and forwards them to the Mayor and then through the Mayor to the City.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Thank you. Commissioner Peck?

COMMISSIONER PECK: Thank you Mr. Chair. I would like to commend on everybody's effort on this plan. I know there's' a lot of hard decisions to make as being somebody who is in commercial real estate and has to make a lot of those decisions on repairing infrastructure. I can see where we do have a lot of infrastructure around town that needs to be repaired and renovated and rehabilitated and I see where that's addressed. Unfortunately, we all loved to have the really cool stuff but it's fixing the stuff we have now to make we don't have to buy all brand new stuff. I am in support of this plan and I think the decisions were made very well.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Commissioner Mullen?

COMMISSIONER MULLEN: Thank you Mr. Chair. I have a quick question. As we look at it, it has a place out through 2015-2017-2019. In the last cycle is there a way to quickly review a couple of departments. I guess my concern is in some of things situation, for instance, open space acquisition. It's relatively a small amount allocated now and I think we all understand the limitations. We only have so much money to spend and these are hard decisions that none of us I think would want to have to be the last person standing saying, "I divided the pie up this way." So I understand that this is very complex and long process to actually commit certain numbers to certain departments. But is it just 'pie in the sky' to say that the cycle is 2 million for them with the last cycle was more promised and only 200 thousand given. And I'm only using this one instance since we flushed it out a bit through our comments or is it realistic to think "yes" for this round. We are going to focus on maintenance or repair or storm water or vehicle acquisition for our safety but in the next round maybe open space. ABQ ride, I'm a little disappointed and again, I want to make this decision but it seems like our mass transit got a little short end of the stick and I can see where they didn't ask for more and as you said, this was kind of a consort ion that came to this point. The long question is, is this realistic the projections in terms of what we can expect the next round.

EPC MINUTES November 13, 2014 Page 10 of 16

MR MOTSKO: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners Mullen. So we have our financial advisors RBC Royal Bank of Canada comes and tells us, in advance, what they think we can bond against for the City using our property taxes. We are in a slum. We're turning the corner slowly; it's going to take a little bit of time. The planning document that we're talking about for the decade plan well not pie in the sky is still a little ambitious right now so I could tell you that Open Space and Parks and Recreation has unexpended about 150 thousand dollars from the 2011 bond cycle. And they have all of their 700 thousand dollars from the 2013 bond cycle that we just passed. So it's not like their running out of money at this point in time. We do look in the planning process according to our CIP financial status report what types of funds are available existing on the books before we go and ask the tax payers to approve more money because we just don't want to sit on that money. We want to get it out into the city and on the ground so the last cycle they got 700 thousand they said that cycle and Ms. Saiers brought it up the 118 Street Sports Complex is a priority. Three million dollars of Parks and Recreation allocation went to that that bang for your buck as far as the first large allocation for that project will then be reduced in future planning cycles and then that the difference the delta will go towards other things and we can make priority for open space.

COMMISSIONER MULLEN: Thank you.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Commissioner Beserra.

COMMISSIONER BESERRA: Thank you Mr. Chair. I like to go back a little bit to what you had touched on briefly Mr. Chair regarding the water contamination in Kirtland area. I know the City had been somewhat involved in it and I understand that the State and the Federal are really the one who are monitoring this particular problem and this is near and dear to my heart. I really am very concerned about this contamination that we are experiencing but I would like to know whether the City has any teeth in terms of being able to impose fines to Kirtland Air force Base for the lack of or delays in correcting this problem because this has been going on since as far as I know 1999 something like that so I like to know couple of things. One if we can impose fines, does the money go back to environmental health or does go back to the general fund? If I can get some answers on that I'd appreciate it thank you.

MR MOTSKO: Chairman, Commissioner Beserra I would have defer to Mary Lou Leonard, the Director of Environmental Health.

COMMISSIONER BESESRRA: Thank you.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Good Morning Ma, am if you state your name and address for the record, please.

MS MARY LOU LEONARD: My name is Mary Lou Leonard. I'm the Director of Environmental Health and my address is PO Box 1293 87103.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: And you swear to tell the truth under penalty of perjury?

MS LEONARD: I do sir.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Thank you.

EPC MINUTES November 13, 2014 Page 11 of 16

MS LEONARD: Mr. Chairman and Commissioners Beserra thank you for your interest. I don't have a specific answer for you as far as civil penalties that go to Kirtland Air Force Base that would a legal issue that we would have to evaluate and we haven't looked at that. I can tell you that the Environmental Health Department monitors and meets with Kirtland and the regulatory bodies that are involved on a weekly if not daily basis and we are tracking it. The Environmental Health Department Air Quality program has regulatory authority over the air quality permitting issue and we're (Inaudible) be in violation of one those permits. We would be able assess penalties. Those penalties would go to the general fund of the City.

COMMISSIONER BESERRA: Thank you. My question would be is if we could find out whether that's even possible. I really believe that because the population that's being affected are the citizen of the City of Albuquerque and to allow the Federal Government and the State to make those decision, I guess, is somewhat concerning to me because I really think that we should have a vested impact on that type of scenario if you could maybe look into that I'd appreciate it.

MS LEONARD: I would certainly be willing to ask the question and get back to you Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER BESERRA: Thank you.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: And if I could just follow up on that certainly from all my 38 years working in the private sector, you get a project comedown from the rare five levels of upper management. You usually get a deadline. If you don't meet that deadline, there are consequences. Either I lose my job or somebody loses their job or there is some other consequences. What I seem to hear and I admit this is only from the media because I'm not being otherwise involved but I think it speaks to what Commissioner Beserra says that it's alright having meetings. We can meet every day for month after month after month but if there are no deadlines if there is nothing as a consequence of those deadlines aren't met then very little is going to happen until we have an environmental disaster and one of the things I like to draw our attention to is we are an Environmental Planning Commission. We don't just plan. We need to look at the environment.

One of the things I saw in the media which struck me as being somewhat outrages but it does illustrate the point that I think Commissioner Beserra and I are trying to make and that is and I don't know who proposed this and I like to find out. Good folks who are doing Paseo, Paseo interchange and I-25. I live up that way and severely being affected by it but I worked with it and I've watched the progress. The progress is being phenomenal and yet, I heard in the media the other day and I don't know who was trying to sponsor this but gee if we don't meet that whatever December deadline and it's finished then there should be like 150 thousand dollar fine levy per day against these folks that are being out all night, every night doing this to get it done. They're way a head of the original schedule but the point I guess I'm trying to make is here is somebody putting some consequences into let's get it done. Let's get it finished because if you don't this is what would happen. And I think that's what and I do not wish to speak for Commissioner Beserra or anyone else but I think that's what needing here. It needs to be something with teeth in it to say, "These are the deadlines. If you do not meet these deadline, such and such will happen." We can talk and talk but talk does not create deadlines. Talk does not create consequences. I absolutely urge you to work on this because if isn't done and isn't done very soon, we are going to have a disaster on our hands and people are going to come back to look at Boards and Commissions maybe even this one and say, "Why wasn't this addressed." So, we are putting it on notice as part of this project this morning and I believe Commissioner Beserra...

EPC MINUTES November 13, 2014 Page 12 of 16

COMMISSIONER BESERRA: I appreciate that Mr. Chair. Again, I understand that there are restrictions that you have with your department. I'm just thinking why it's not, I shouldn't say it's not important but it's not a, we not a major player in this particular issue and it sounds like we're kind of pushed aside and these other departments are dictating what happens and I really see that unless we starting putting some pressure on Kirtland Air Force Base to get this project resolved, then I really anticipating that we're going to have some very serious problems where a lot of the money that you're requesting right now on the City's budget is going toward cleaning that mess up and I appreciate that very much.

MS LEONARD: May I make a couple of additional comments.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Yes ma'am.

MS LEONARD: Chairman and Commissioners Beserra, I do appreciate your comments and I think all of us would like to see the Kirtland addressed and cleaned up more quickly and I think Kirtland would probably agree with you on that level. I just want to add two additional comments because I've been so involved with all the meetings but I have seen some progress of late and I just want to provide information. The New Mexico Environment Department is the lead regulatory authority. They do have the ability to assess very significant penalties under the Hazardous Waste Act. I might just say watch that in the not too distant future. I also would like to let you all know that Mayor Berry has been very busy behind the scenes. He's traveled to Washington D.C. on several occasions and spoke with the Secretary of the Air Force. I think his style, he prefers more to talk at high levels not go out in the public and be a critic but to make sure that they understand what a high priority it is for the City of Albuquerque and that they need to have not only the high level attention but the high level dollars ready to go. And of recent, the Secretary of the Air Force has appointed a senior staff member from their civil engineering center who has had significant experience in cleaning up these plumes on Air Force Bases all over the country. She's been to Albuquerque. I think she's spends 50% of her time in Albuquerque on lobbying so that she would might spend 100% of her time in Albuquerque. I have seen the significant difference in the ten year and the attention to the problem since she's been assigned to the site.

COMMISSIONER BESERRA: Thank you Ms. Leonard. Your department is doing a really great job out there. Thank you.

MS LEONARD: Thank you Commissioner.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Commissioner McCoy?

COMMISSIONER MCCOY: Thank you Mr. Chairman. First to the Committee and all of the people who have duty, thank you. As a finance guy, I did not find a good sleeping material but incredibly good work and I think it's important that we all understand that big constraints are budgetary assess evaluation property. I certainly am hopeful that the financial consultants are right and that we are in the upward trend along this line. There are many things within the plan that just disappoint me but the same thing happens at my house when I do annual budget. The new Mercedes and that sort of stuff just have to wait for our number one obligation I believe Mr. Commissioner Peck was correct. The maintenance of our infrastructure so that we have a city to beautify and make better is absolutely crucial. So until there's more money on the table, I'm inclined to completely support what we see here in this plan. Thank you for your help.

EPC MINUTES November 13, 2014 Page 13 of 16

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Commissioner Hudson?

COMMISSIONER HUDSON: Thank you Mr. Chair. Mr. Motsko, so this plan goes out till 2023 and if all indications are accurate that Albuquerque will pick up and we will have additional property tax base. Can this plan be amended at a later date or is this cast in concrete?

MR MOTSKO: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Hudson. I'm not sure I'm clear with the question amended will go to the voters in 2015 for this two year cycle and then we'll continue to plan every two years. So as we get more assess evaluation and more bonding capacity, the program increases.

COMMISSIONER HUDSON: Okay, so this plan then could change based on the property tax base that hopefully we're going to have in the future and voters choose to do so.

MR MOTSKO: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Hudson in the future yes. The 2017, 2019, 2021 plans will be different than this one, yes.

COMMISSIONER HUDSON: Okay thank you.

MR MOTSKO: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners Ms. Dicome asked to just clarify one point again, you're finding and recommendations go to the Mayor and through the Mayor to the City Council.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Anything final, Commissioners? Let closed the floor at this point. Any discussion before we hear that motion? Commissioner Gonzalez?

COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: I'm asking for a little direction from the Chair if as I said before if I were to go through this plan I could line by line ask, "So why are we not spending more money here and why are we spending less money there." Like I said, it's not that I see a red flag but I'm just asking a little bit of guidance. Do you want me to open that can of worms? For example, I disagree that we should spend 16 million dollars on police vehicles over the next, over that cycle. I'm sure that we could find representative from the Police Department that would come up and explain to me why they feel that that's necessary whereas I might think that a million dollars less a year could be used in a different place in a better way. So I'm just asking for a little bit of direction from the Chair as to what you believe is the best way to go about my concerns or are you feel that's not our as I said it's not that I think this is a terrible plan or anything but I'm just wondering. I'm just wondering about that.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Thank you Commissioner Gonzalez for putting me on the spot there. I appreciate it and I do not mean that negatively that is my job. I think we have two ways really to look at this. One is that we already have a motion and the second to support this plan. However, that does not mean cannot be amendments to that motion made if there was something specific that you or another Commissioner feels very strongly about. I do not see that that would be unreasonable to put as an amendment to the motion. Again, I would defer to cancel to make sure that I'm not dropping this all in the collective nasty here.

MS JACOBI: Thank you Mr. Chair. In reading the ordinance, I think your job here is to fold. 1) We want to have a public hearing on this and I think we have accomplished that. 2) Your expertise is useful – one for the environmental analysis which the Chair had pointed out earlier and two because of your knowledge of the City's plan and objectives in the big scheme of things and so doing that you are

EPC MINUTES November 13, 2014 Page 14 of 16

welcome to make any changes you think necessary to this plan. Those are recommendations that are made to the Mayor and then he can weigh those and except them, reject them. And so it is up to the Commission how deeply to weigh into the weeds but it is within your purview absolutely to do so.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: And would those be under findings or recommendations?

MS JACOBI: I would say they could be appropriate both places. A finding could be that this plan is neglecting this important issue and a recommendation would be to allocated X amount of money to that however you thought appropriate based on your opinion of a specific issue.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Thank you Council. I think we are at a point where this is going to find out how many people skim through it and said, "It looks great." And how many people read it line by line and said, "I can't stand that." We need to change that so with that in mind, the floor is closed and I like to hear from any Commissioners who feel that there is a particular area within this plan that either needs further studying, reallocation, or a wording within our motion which includes findings and recommendations. So far, that goes to the Mayor and ultimately to the City Council. So if you have something that you feel is clearly important then I think this is the appropriate time to hear that. Commissioner Gonzalez, thank you for putting me there. I would like to ask you if you willing to start that discussion.

COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: So I said again it would be, I don't know that I really be willing. Like I said I could start with marked police vehicles. Why 60 million dollars? I think that would be silly. I mean, I think it would be silly for me to go through here and go line by line and have a representative from each department come up and explain to me or justify. So either I have to take it on faith that these are proper figures but really with all respect to the presenter, the community has a lot of different ideas about what should be done with money and there's not necessarily one path to go. So I think I rather just say that I have some reservations about, for example, about police vehicles. I could go through every line. I have a question about every line really and that's just not my, I don't think that's my job here so that's why I was asking for direction. I also don't want to stop this from moving forward. I leave it at that. I'm not going to go into specific into line items. I'm just a little concern about the process or about the role in the process rather. With that said, I don't think I will stay in the way of this moving forward.

COMMISSIONER MULLEN: Thank you Mr. Chair. It's not surprising to my fellow commissioners and I do echo some of Commissioners Gonzalez's concerns and I raised them earlier when I pointed out some of the areas where I felt like there was inequity.

And it's hard to as he pointed out to go by line item by line item and it's kind of beyond even my expertise and I think beyond our ability at this hearing and I do understand as I stated earlier the long process that brought us here but I do find it just tragic that were not putting more money into our mass transits. I think it's tragic that they didn't ask for more money and there's probably a reason for that and I don't want anyone to feel defensive. Just kind of looking at on a broader community basis, I think personally and I think there are others who would agree with me it's an area where we really should be focusing our thoughts, our planning, and our funding. And then community facilities has Commissioner Gonzalez pointed out I think there are some different ideas about many could be spent and not to take it away from our public safety but it does seem like the pie is divided a little bit in their favor, thank you. But like, I'm not here to stand in the way of this and also understand the long process that even with these funds being recommended, there still not out there working for us yet. So to hold this up doesn't

EPC MINUTES November 13, 2014 Page 15 of 16

do a community service either and so I wouldn't stand in the way of this but I do have similar concerns about the allocations.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Commissioner McCoy?

COMMISSIONER MCCOY: I agree with Commissioner Gonzalez and Commissioner Mullen and the limited areas. The major disagreement I do have is I do trust in the process. I believe that the people involved had done the best they can with a limited budget and even though some reallocation of limited monies could certain be micromanaged. I'm not in favor of that. I do trust in the process and well I am in the finance business certainly do not want to weigh in line item by line item and begin trying to reallocate (inaudible) resources and an illustration for at least my perspective. I concur with the previous discussion about the environmental clean-up. I think it's fairly important to understand if the State were to fine the Feds or the City were fine the Feds. This just becomes the question of how we redistribute the wealth because the money from those fines comes from us the taxpayers whether it comes on one ledger or the other seems unimportant so major point of my ramp I trust in the process and I thank you very much.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Anyone else? Then at this point, I believe we still have a motion at a second for recommendation of approval. My question would be do we want to add in a finding that might cover a concern, if you will, from the EPC to say that while we have looked at this carefully without picking it apart line by line then maybe some areas where allocation seem to have been heavy on one side but light on another. And I'm not trying to put words into any else's mouth but just when this goes forward that the Mayor and ultimately, then the City Council...are clear or clearer that we do have concerns. It's not just a rubber stamp from this body. Commissioner Peck?

COMMISSIONER PECK: Thank you Mr. Chair. I would not be in support of that amendment. I feel that the departments have to take a hard look at this. They have to take a hard look at the money that's being allocated. The needs of the community and I would trust that they would do that and I don't think it's within us to slice it down into the minutia.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Counsel?

MS JACOBI: Thank you Mr. Chair. For the record, to keep it clean, I would recommend that we restate the motion because I think it was a little bit in the condition. I would be willing to and I believe the staff report has findings on page 4 so I know this is an unusual case in front of us but if we step back and make the motion more formerly as we're accustom to. I think the record would read a lot cleaner so we know what findings are being adopted. Thank you.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Sure, Commissioner Hudson would you be willing to restate your original motion.

COMMISSIONER HUDSON: Thank you Mr. Chair. Yes I'll be happy to do that. In the matter of Project 1002358 Case #14EPC-40065, I move for approval of the plan to go to the City Council be forwarded to the Mayor, thank you Commissioner Peck, forwarded to the Mayor which then would go on to the City Council based on findings 1 thru 3.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: And I was the original second. I still second that motion any final discussion on the motion? Hearing none those in favor say, "Aye."

EPC MINUTES November 13, 2014 Page 16 of 16

COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: Those against say, "No."

COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: No.

CHAIR NICHOLLS: We have an 8-1, eight in favor, one against. The one against was Commissioner, I sorry, seven. Commissioner Gonzalez being the "no" vote. Thank you.

FINAL ACTION TAKEN:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Environmental Planning Commission (EPC), voted to recommend **Approval to the Mayor** for Project# 1002358: 14EPC-40065, a request for Special Project Request to Review Mayor's Proposed 2015 General Obligation Bond Program and 2015-2024 Decade Plan, based on the following findings:

FINDINGS:

- 1. This "Special Project Review" case is a request for review and comment by the public and for recommendation from the Environmental Planning Commission on the Mayor's proposed Decade Plan 2015-2024 and the Genral Obligation Bond Program for the 2015 biennium.
- 2. This program conforms to the requirements of R-14-3-12; Enactment R-2010-037 establishing policies and criteria for the selection of capital improvement proejcts for the 2015 general obligation bond program/2015-2024 decade program.
- 3. This program of capital improvements is supportive of the goals and objectives of the Albuquerque/Bernalillo County Comprehensive Plan, especially with respect to the development and preservation of assests within designated centers and corridors.

MOVED COMMISSIONER HUDSON SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER NICHOLLS

MOTION PASSED 7 to 1 COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ VOTED NO

COMMISSIONER BOHANNAN RECUSES HIMSELF