CITY of ALBUQUERQUE NINETEENTH COUNCIL

COUNCIL BILL NO. _____ ENACTMENT NO. _

SPONSORED BY:

1 RESOLUTION 2 ..t 3 Directing The Administration To Investigate And Take All Necessary And Legal Action, Including The Filing Of A Declaratory Judgment Proceeding To 4 5 Ascertain The Legality And Enforceability Of The Perlman Memorandum And 6 Administrative Instruction No. 7-46 And To Develop Ordinance Amendments 7 That Preclude Any Practice That Permits Unclassified Employees To Be 8 Compensated For Work Not Performed On Behalf Of The City Of Albuquerque. 9 ..b 10 WHEREAS, on December 7, 2006 CAO Dr. Bruce J. Perlman issued an Interoffice Memorandum to the City's Chief Operating Officer ("Perlman Memorandum"). The Perlman Memorandum provided in relevant part that if 13 the Chief Operating Officer (the "COO" or "Mr. Adams") returned to classified employment with the City: "your grade will be M-20 or the equivalent and your rate of pay will be maintained along with raises for the class until retirement"; and

WHEREAS, rather than wait until the decision of the COO to return to classified service, Mr. Perlman made the determination of what was an "appropriate" salary three years before that decision; and

WHEREAS, the legal justification allegedly supporting the Perlman Memorandum was an Administrative Instruction also issued by Dr. Perlman two months earlier on October 1, 2006; and

WHEREAS, this Administrative Instruction (Al No. 7-46) provides, in part:
 When an unclassified executive management employee is
 entitled to return to a classified position and elects to do

 so, their compensation shall be adjusted as appropriate;
 and

3 WHEREAS, the Perlman Memorandum further states that the rate of 4 pay provided for the COO is determined to be "appropriate", under the 5 terms of the Administrative Instruction, if the COO returns to classified 6 service with the City; and

7 WHEREAS, it is believed that Mr. Adams rate of pay currently8 approximates \$147,000; and

9 WHEREAS, on December 21, 2009, in an Interoffice Memorandum
10 ("City Attorney Memorandum") to David Campbell, City Attorney Robert
11 White opined that the Perlman Memorandum "does form an enforceable
12 employment contract with the City consistent with the authority granted
13 to the CAO..."; and

WHEREAS, the City Attorney further opined that the MSO provisions
that require a pay plan can be ignored by the CAO and that the CAO is
empowered to enter into contracts to provide any salary to any
employee; and

WHEREAS, the salary range for an M-20 classification starts at about
\$34 per hour and goes to about \$56 per hour and should not exceed
approximately \$104,000 annually; and

WHEREAS, the City Attorney Memorandum purports to be based on three provisions of the City's Merit System Ordinance ("MSO") as well as Al No. 7-45 quoted above; and

WHEREAS, it also appears that Mr. Adams was appointed CAO in violation of Section 3-1-6 of the MSO and without benefit of City Council consideration of the contract indicated at Section 3-1-6; and

WHEREAS, as reported in the press, the City Attorney has now
concluded that contrary to the MSO, the previous administration
inappropriately contracted, and, waived or caused to be waived various
probationary and other requirements of the MSO regarding select City
employees; and

WHEREAS, the City Council is specifically empowered under the City
Charter to: "Preserve a merit system by ordinance." Art. IV, § 10(e); and

2

WHEREAS, the City Council has established a specific process to
determine the salaries to be paid to classified employees; and
WHEREAS, the "anti-donation clause" at Article IX, Section 14 of the
New Mexico Constitution, in part, prohibits the City from making "a gift,
an allocation or appropriation of something of value, without
consideration to a person, association or public or private corporation";
and

8 WHEREAS, contracts that are not otherwise provided for in another 9 portion of the City Code must comply with the Purchasing Ordinance. In 10 part, that ordinance requires that professional services contracts for 11 more than \$55,000 require City Council approval. § 5-5-19(A)(3)(c) ROA 12 1994. The Perlman Memorandum was not approved by the City Council; 13 and

WHEREAS, there are any number of arguments to dispute the claim that
the Perlman Memorandum is an enforceable contract; and

WHEREAS, the administration should be directed to investigate, to
the fullest extent of the law, the enforceability and legality of the
Perlman Memorandum, Administrative Instruction No. 7-46, the previous
Administration's violation of the MSO, the Purchasing Ordinance and
possibly the State Constitution.

21 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL, THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OF22 ALBUQUERQUE,

Section 1. The Administration is directed to investigate and take all necessary and legal action, including the filing of a declaratory judgment proceeding, to ascertain the legality and enforceability of the Perlman Memorandum and Administrative Instruction No. 7-46.

Section 2. The Administration should give due regard to:

- a. The authority of the CAO to issue Al No. 7-46;
- b. Whether the Perlman Memorandum and the Administrative Instruction violate the MSO;
- c. Whether the Perlman Memorandum and the Administrative Instruction violate the Purchasing Ordinance;

30

31

32

1	d. Whether the Perlman Memorandum and the Administrative
2	Instruction violate the State Constitution;
3	e. Whether the Perlman Memorandum and the Administrative
4	Instruction violate the Municipal Code or any other provision of state
5	law; and
6	f. Whether the CAO is empowered to negotiate employment contracts,
7	and, if so, the limits of such authority.
8	Section 3. The Administration is further directed to develop ordinance
9	amendments that preclude any practice that permits unclassified
10	employees to be compensated for work not performed on behalf of the
11	City.
12	

[Bracketed/Underscored Material] - New [Bracketed/Strikethrough Material] - Deletion