CITY of ALBUQUERQUE NINETEENTH COUNCIL

COUNCIL BILL NO. <u>C/S O-10-9</u> ENACTMENT NO.

SPONSORED BY: Dan Lewis

1

ORDINANCE

2 REPEALING SECTIONS 14-16-2-6(G), 14-16-2-7(I), 14-16-2-8(H), 14-16-2-9(H), 14-

3 16-2-11(I), 14-16-2-12(I), 14-16-2-13(H), 14-16-2-14(H), 14-16-2-15(G), 14-16-2-

4 16(G), 14-16-2-17(H), 14-16-2-18(H), 14-16-2-19(I), 14-16-2-20(G), 14-16-2-21(G),

5 14-16-2-22(G), 14-16-2-24(E), 14-16-2-25(K), 14-16-3-11(A)(2), 14-16-4-3(A)(6)

6 AND 14-16-3-14 ROA 1994; AMENDING SECTIONS, 14-16-4-1(A)(2), 14-16-3-

7 22(A)(4)(b)5 ROA 1994 ALL TO REMOVE THE REQUIREMENT TO CONDUCT

8 AN AIR QUALITY IMPACT ANALYSIS OR ASSESSMENT AS A PRECONDITION

9 FOR CERTAIN LAND USE APPROVALS.

10 BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL, THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OF 11 ALBUQUERQUE:

SECTION 1. In order to avoid any perception that this bill reduces the City's commitment to clean air this uncodified introduction is included to show that the requirement for an air quality impact analysis (AQIA) provides no environmental protection and represents a waste of City and private resources that could be used to provide actual environmental benefits:

1. This bill repeals the Zoning Code requirement that applicants for certain land use projects submit an air quality impact analysis (AQIA) for Carbon Monoxide (CO) as part of the development review process.

2. AQIAs were important when the requirement was added in 1990, at a time
 when the City was out of compliance with the National Ambient Air Quality
 Standard (NAAQS) for CO.

3. Since that time, measured CO levels have steadily decreased as a result
of more stringent federal and local standards for vehicle fuel content and
emission control equipment on vehicles, as well as the continuing

effectiveness of the Albuquerque-Bernalillo County Vehicle Inspection and
 Maintenance I &M Program and the Winter Advisory No Burn Program.

3 4. Albuquerque is well below the maximum levels of CO allowed by the 4 National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQSs), which are federal healthbased standards. Local CO levels exceeded the NAAQSs during the late 5 6 seventies and early eighties when CO was a much greater concern than it is 7 now. Monitored CO levels have continued to decline steadily. The U.S. EPA 8 redesignated Albuquergue-Bernalillo County to attainment status, under a 9 maintenance plan, for the NAAQSs for CO on June 13, 1996. (Fed. Reg. Vol. 61, 10 No. 115).

5. The City's Air Quality staff have represented that the AQIAs produce no
relevant or useful data and that AQIAs are no longer effective as a CO control
strategy and are not needed to help meet the national, health-based air quality
standards for CO.

6. As demonstrated in the "State Implementation Plan (SIP) Revision:
Limited Maintenance Plan (LMP) for Carbon Monoxide (CO):

Albuquerque/Bernalillo County, NM", compliance with the NAAQSs for CO has
been achieved. The U.S. EPA approved the LMP for CO, including supporting
data, in 2005 (Fed. Reg. Vol. 70, No. 71).

7. The City's Air Quality staff have represented that AQIAs are costly and time consuming to perform and to review for developers as well as for city staff and represent a waste of limited resources;

8. In May of 2005 a Planning Department "White Paper" was prepared recommending the repeal of the AQIA requirement "as soon as is practicable."
A copy of that White Paper is attached to this ordinance and is also not to be codified. The reasons for the White Paper recommendation include:

A. By 2005 the City CO levels were less than half of federal standards;

B. At the levels of CO found within the City by 2005, the EPA has
determined that population growth will not lead to a violation of federal
standards;

32 C. The last violation of a NAAQS for CO (the 8-hour standard)
33 occurred in December 1991;

2

- D. Given improvements in vehicle emission control technology over
 the past twenty years, every city in the U.S., including Los Angeles, meets
 federal CO standards;
- 4

E. The requirement is outdated and no longer serves any purpose.

- 9. The failure to perform an AQIA, as required by current City ordinances,
 has been used as legal justification to block the adoption of a sector plan even
 though conducting an AQIA provides no benefit.
- 8 10. The City Council finds that all evidence supports the repeal of the AQIA
 9 requirement as unnecessary and a waste of City and private resources.
- SECTION 2. Section 14-16-2-6(G) ROA 1994 concerning air quality impact
 review in an R-1 Zone is hereby repealed.

SECTION 3. Section 14-16-2-7(I) ROA 1994 concerning air quality impact
review in an MH Zone is hereby repealed.

- SECTION 4. Section 14-16-2-8(H) ROA 1994 concerning air quality impact
 review in an R-LT Zone is hereby repealed.
- SECTION 5. Section 14-16-2-9(H) ROA 1994 concerning air quality impact
 review in an R-T Zone is hereby repealed and subsequent subsections are
 renumbered accordingly.

SECTION 6. Section 14-16-2-11(I) ROA 1994 concerning air quality impact
 review in an R-2 Zone is hereby repealed.

SECTION 7. Section 14-16-2-12(I) ROA 1994 concerning air quality impact review in an R-3 Zone is hereby repealed.

SECTION 8. Section 14-16-2-13(H) ROA 1994 concerning air quality impact review in an RC Zone is hereby repealed.

SECTION 9. Section 14-16-2-14(H) ROA 1994 concerning air quality impact review in an RD Zone is hereby repealed.

SECTION 10. Section 14-16-2-15(G) ROA 1994 concerning air quality impact review in an O-1 Zone is hereby repealed.

SECTION 11. Section 14-16-2-16(G) ROA 1994 concerning air quality impact
 review in a C-1 Zone is hereby repealed and subsequent subsections are
 renumbered accordingly.

32 SECTION 12. Section 14-16-2-17(H) ROA 1994 concerning air quality impact
 33 review in a C-2 Zone is hereby repealed.

1 SECTION 13. Section 14-16-2-18(H) ROA 1994 concerning air guality impact 2 review in a C-3 Zone is hereby repealed. 3 SECTION 14. Section 14-16-2-19(I) ROA 1994 concerning air quality impact 4 review in an IP Zone is hereby repealed. 5 SECTION 15. Section 14-16-2-20(G) ROA 1994 concerning air guality impact 6 review in an M-1 Zone is hereby repealed and subsequent subsections are renumbered accordingly. 7 8 SECTION 16. Section 14-16-2-21(G) ROA 1994 concerning air quality impact 9 review in an M-2 Zone is hereby repealed and subsequent subsections are 10 renumbered accordingly. 11 SECTION 17. Section 14-16-2-22(G) ROA 1994 concerning air quality impact 12 review in an SU-1 Zone is hereby repealed and subsequent subsections are 13 renumbered accordingly. 14 SECTION 18. Section 14-16-2-24(E) ROA 1994 concerning air quality impact 15 review in an SU-3 Zone is hereby repealed and subsequent subsections are 16 renumbered accordingly. 17 SECTION 19. Section 14-16-2-25(K) ROA 1994 concerning air guality impact [+Bracketed/Underscored Material+] - New -Bracketed/Strikethrough Material-] - Deletion 18 review in the H-1 Zone is hereby repealed. 19 SECTION 20. Section 14-16-3-11(A)(2) regarding Site Development Plan 20 Approval Requirements is hereby repealed and subsequent subsections are 21 renumbered accordingly. 22 SECTION 21. Section 14-16-3-14, Air Quality Impact Regulations, is hereby 23 repealed in its entirety. 24 SECTION 22. Section 14-16-3-22(A)(4)(b)5 ROA 1994 concerning Form 25 Based Zones is amended to read as follows: 26 "5. Traffic Impact Study exemption. The intent of the form based 27 zones is to create development that provides a range of multi-modal 28 opportunities, reducing overall vehicular need. Accordingly, a TIS shall not be 29 required for development within a SU-1 form based zone."

30 SECTION 23. Section 14-16-4-1(A)(2) ROA 1994, The Amendment Procedure
31 section of the Zoning Code, is amended to read as follows:

32 "(2) Amendments to the map or text of this Zoning Code are
33 initiated by application to the city on prescribed forms. Each application for

4

an amendment to a zone map shall be accompanied by sufficient copies of an
accurate site plan, building development plan, sketch, evidence of interest in
property, or other related information as may be required by the city.
Submission of inaccurate information with an application is grounds for
denial. An application relating to unplatted land shall be accompanied by a
plat delineating the boundaries of the area requested to be amended."

7 SECTION 24. Section 14-16-4-3(A)(6) ROA 1994, regarding the requirement
8 to include an air quality assessment when adopting a sector development
9 plan, is hereby repealed in its entirety.

SECTION 25. SEVERABILITY CLAUSE. If any section, paragraph,
 sentence, clause, word or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be
 invalid or unenforceable by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision
 shall not affect the validity of the remaining provisions of this ordinance. The
 Council hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each
 section, paragraph, sentence, clause, word or phrase thereof irrespective of
 any provision being declared unconstitutional or otherwise invalid.

SECTION 26. COMPILATION. Sections 2 through 24 of this ordinance
shall be incorporated in and made part of the Revised Ordinances of
Albuquerque, New Mexico, 1994.

SECTION 27. EFFECTIVE DATE. This ordinance shall take effect five days after publication by title and general summary.

- 33 X:\SHARE\Legislation\Nineteen\O-9csfinal.doc